

## **The day everything changed**

The world we live in, is rapidly changing and as humanity is forced into a higher level of consciousness, more and more of what was once deeply hidden, is now being exposed and it's rapidly gaining momentum, as it comes to the surface. How many times, have we believed something about a person, who we completely trusted and thought they had integrity, only to find out later, that what we believed was the complete opposite? In the past many people blindly trusted their government, politicians, their doctor or other members of their community and then after seeing some conflicting evidence, were stimulated to dig deeper and try to figure out what was really going on and sometimes, it can take a considerable amount of time and effort, including the possibility, that you may have to open your mind to new possibilities, to ultimately get to the truth.



### **How the Media can manipulate our viewpoint**

I would just like to openly share the following information and I'm not asking that you even agree with what I say in any way. All I ask is that keep an open mind and thoroughly evaluate what I present and trust your own intuition before you jump to any conclusions. Now imagine if you removed the 2 names of the key players within this scenario and were able to look at this information, from the perspective, as an informed but detached observer? Imagine reading the following


information but you didn't know who these people were? Think of the advantage you could have, if you could temporarily suspend judgement and just look at the data, for what it is and see it with fresh eyes?

As you can clearly see in our mainstream media, it is very heavily censored in such a way that it can significantly shape the perception of the public. This is done in such a way that it can make someone who's an absolute criminal, look like a nice person and someone who's a nice person, look like a criminal. Think of Bill Gates, Hillary Clinton or George Soros. Even though these people have done horrendous things against humanity, people still voted for Hillary and many people believe Bill Gates and George Soros are kind and generous philanthropists. Then you can also see that people like Donald Trump, Edward Snowden and Julian Assange who have done so much good to expose the deep levels of corruption throughout the world, have been treated like war criminals by the criminals in government and the mainstream media etc. Whenever someone controls the flow of information, that person can use that information in any way they choose, to shape and control the perception, of the people who consume that information. How much of the PTV media is controlled, how is it controlled and why is it controlled? Is the PTV media a free-flowing media, where the flow of information is not controlled or censored in any way? Do you feel confident you could post whatever content you felt strongly about, within a group of your choosing, without any disapproval, criticism or negative consequences from the people controlling that flow of information?

If the world had absolute truth and transparency in all of its media then the vast majority of the world's crimes could not have happened. They know it's a numbers game as long as they can get the majority of the population to believe the lies, then the herd will stampede anyone who doesn't conform. Think of the entire coronavirus scam? In Australia, a bus driver was beaten to death, for not wearing a mask, because the herd were fed lies and had mind control strategies used on them. Out of their manufactured fear and paranoia, they justified murdering this man, who had a higher understanding, of what was really going on than they did and because he had a different viewpoint to theirs.

*Two percent  
of the people think,  
Three percent  
of the people  
Think they think,  
And ninety-five percent  
of the people would  
rather die than Think.*

*- George Bernard Shaw*



Now it's up to you what you think, but have you ever noticed that you're not allowed to ever chat socially in PTV? There's no Social Lounge, where members can chat openly, just to discuss whatever is on their minds. There are also no public debates allowed at any time. There is a News Gems channel that you can post in but if you post anything that's not to their liking, you could be criticised or ridiculed and if you disagree with Andrea, for more than a few messages, you have the potential to be accused of becoming polarised, or that you're an agent of the matrix and then, it's only a matter of time before you're removed, as many members have already discovered. Strangely, in most cases, this is usually only with female members, who did not agree or conform with Andrea's version of reality. It may seem strange but it's true. Even if you're not removed, you will be on Andrea's watchlist with a keen focus on closely monitoring your behaviour, or your non-compliance.

THOSE WHO ARE ABLE TO SEE  
BEYOND THE SHADOWS  
AND LIES OF THEIR CULTURE  
WILL NEVER BE UNDERSTOOD  
LET ALONE BELIEVED, BY THE MASSES.

-PLATO (427-347 BC)



The following is Julie's letter to Andrea, which Andrea felt the need to share with me, and then there's Andrea's response to it. This was shared with me because Andrea is looking for people to agree with her and where she seeks agreement, so that she can manufacture the justification for her addiction to being right.



andrea\_0133 17:09 - 28 Mar 23



Response to Andrea\_28Mar23 (1).docx

Show in Finder



andrea\_0133 17:10 - 28 Mar 23

Something to read from Julie to me today because she has written several letters like this and I want you to see this .

### **Response to Andrea\_28Mar23**

Dear Andrea



I'm just coming through a migraine (it seems to have become a pattern that when MM has a crisis, I accompany him with a migraine!), and I listened to your Zoom call. I wish to be totally honest here, I will risk meeting with your rage or dismissal for the sake of speaking a few words of truth (which are not fixed or carved in stone, but rather happen to be constellating together in my world at this moment, and have been arising within me for some time).

Most importantly of all, a huge, garlanded 'thank you' for getting us to here! I feel so honoured to have been with you for just a short while on your journey, to glimpse all that you and Ken (and Jolly of course) put in, all that you do on the inner and outer planes that has made this moment possible. This is my overriding message, a head bow, a smile of deep appreciation, and an enthusiastic wave of support...

And... I listen to you telling me who you are, and I wish that you would let me find out for myself! I *need* to be able to do this, you cannot tell me what / how to think! You are absolutely extraordinary, you have gone through and carried so much on your way to here, and yet I also see you traumatised, your nervous system not so happy, your being far from whole. You speak of your incredible mentors, and I wonder if (some of) the inner work you did was within a frozen nervous system. I say this because I think it's what happened to me, when I studied psychotherapy many years ago, I was so desperately seeking, digging that I lost my social self for a time, which made me aware but also super-sensitive, brittle. I was already diminished / controlled by earlier traumatic experiences, which have somewhat been soothed by family life in the last two decades. And I'm still working with this now, my system is not so strong (it trips into 'migraine' mode as an exaggerated 'freeze' mode). Of course I am not under anything like the stress and pressure that you are under, but I thought it was worth a mention. I care for you deeply, I see what a committed, deeply honourable and profoundly principled and caring being you are. I want to learn from you, connect with you, although a spikey-ness so often gives me 'go away' messages. I am reminded of Polyvagal Theory, and Deb Dana who has been working with this model. Our highest level of nervous functioning is when we are able to co-regulate with other beings, that is, we are not just maintaining our own homeostasis but contributing to that of the beings in our relational field. Terence Real also writes about this co-regulation in his work as a relational therapist – resolution of a relational crisis always involves both parties opening to social co-regulation, rather than keeping in their fixed 'being right' position. When we experience the

‘safety’ of a nervous system in ‘social engagement’ mode, then in our work we can enjoy play and social interactions, we can heal, form bonds of friendship and we are creative (at best co-creative).

Of course, this is all that I wish for PTV! I am giving you my best here, in spite of some trepidation and hesitance. Maybe I stand to be corrected, maybe my words are abhorrent to you, but if I don’t share them then I am withholding - I am not honouring my commitment, sharing my excellence, ful-filling my being and living my passion.

I listened to the musical track. When it had finished, the room fell quiet and I could hear the early morning musical chorus of birdsong drifting in from the garden. And as much as I wanted to enjoy the musical piece, I am not a great fan of lounge-y style, but in listening to the end, I was blessed with what resonates in me as the real calling – that of nature, in her beauty and bounty, doing what she does so expressively, unreservedly, whole-heartedly... *This* is what calls me. And maybe especially so on this morning, of Tuesday 28<sup>th</sup> March 2023.

I am in. For the long haul, for good or ill, for rich or poor, to make this world a place for all of Life to thrive for mutual benefit and joy. I see the way to this is the path that you and Ken have laid – through disentanglement from the matrix, through growing the systems that allow others to follow, through carefully-overseen, creative, fully capitalised projects that honour the dignity, integrity and life force in all beings...

Thank you Andrea, thank you Ken, MM, Jolly, Brad, Nida...

With Love

Julie

Now whenever I first read Julie’s letter, I was initially in agreement with Andrea because I just trusted Andrea’s word that everyone was being mean to her, but after a much deeper evaluation, I realised that Andrea was actually the one with the issue. What I see from Andrea is a classic example of total projection. Now from my perspective, I think Julie has written this letter to Andrea in a sincere and deeply respectful way. She does say “*I want to learn from you, connect with you, although a spikey-ness so often gives me ‘go away’ messages.*” It seems from Julie’s message, that she would like to be able to connect with Andrea, but that she

doesn't feel that Andrea is approachable and I believe that many other members may have had a similar experience? I personally would never choose to bow to anyone and I don't know why anyone would feel the need to bow but we all have that free will choice? Now when you read Andrea's response to Julie, it seems like Andrea has been really triggered by it and what I see is Andrea reacting to it in a really disrespectful and condescending manner and this reveals a lot about who Andrea really is?



andrea\_0133 17:10 - 28 Mar 23

Something to read from Julie to me today because she has written several letters like this and I want you to see this .

Here is my reply: I have lots to do today and have received many letters from people.

I have spent hundreds of hours with resetting the nervous system course, reading everything from Peter Levine and doing a course on Polyvagal theory of Stephen Porges and his invention which was a waste of time for me because the frequencies were way too harsh for my system. I did 2 years of doing some very deep work with Dr Eva who is now a very close friend and she introduced me to energy medicine and I apply that for hours daily with the Healy programs that work on the nervous system. I found doing the work with Dr Aimie has been very beneficial for me and do lots of somatic work daily and with her community. I also see hundreds of mostly women who cannot even turn on their cameras or share yet because they are severely traumatized. My intention for everyone here is to get assistance with doing this type of work. Many people in the society have very difficult things to deal with like anxiety and not sleeping well and nasty people in their families . Yes I am fully aware of my nervous system and how it works and it is from childhood trauma but I also do the work and I don't just talk about things. I share that authentically. I help people all the time and point them in the right direction. I find that your pattern is really about going into competition quite a lot with an air of superiority which I don't like . I sent you something about migraines from Eva's good friend and yet you ignore that . You will never know the level of stress that Ken and I have ...never because it is not anything that has happened on this planet before. What we tell people is about 10% of what actually happens in our day because most people could not handle it. All I can say is when you see the EBS you will begin to see what we have had to cope and maintain with. Your donations have really saved the day and the world and we shall be eternally grateful for that as well as the other Pitch Hitters that stepped up. It was not about us though, it was about the saving of humanity and that is why my nervous system has been on edge.

Now when you read Andrea's response to Julie you can see she's been triggered by Julie's response, but why? Rather than address or comment on what Julie had said, Andrea is calling rank on her. She lists just how wonderful she is and how much she knows about the topic and just how much she and Ken have suffered, which is a clear deflection, but how could we not know how much Ken and Andrea have suffered because Andrea never stops moaning about it? Julie's letter is belittled and Andrea uses ridicule and guilt in an attempt to gain Julie's surrender

rather than trying to understand and appreciate where Julie is coming from. I believe Julie wanted the opportunity to speak with Andrea, with the intention of having an open dialogue to discuss certain topics but I think, as you can clearly see, that Andrea was the one who got triggered, became defensive and has projected all of her unresolved emotional issues onto Julie. Now imagine if we just switched the names of the people in this conversation, would you see it then? Andrea also mentions that she sent Julie something about migraines but she accused Julie of ignoring it. Could it be that Julie was in a lot of pain and was using existing methods of pain control? Now, why does Andrea need so much constant validation? Even in the News Gems Keybase group she was criticising members within that group for not liking or commenting on a post she shared. Can Andrea not even see the catch 22 scenario she has set up? What Andrea is doing is asking members to like or comment on her post but if someone said anything that Andrea didn't like, then Andrea could get triggered and then blame that member for her getting triggered. Now even if the member's opinion was 100% correct but not to Andrea's liking, then that member runs the risk of being attacked by Andrea and if she, because it's nearly always a she, doesn't back down and bow to Andrea's imagined authority, then she is likely to have her character assassinated by Andrea and then be subsequently removed. This is Andrea's favourite, rinse and repeat formula. Now one thing that I've really learned with working with people all over the world for decades, is that people grow with praise and they shrink with criticism. Praise makes you feel good, it expands your spirit and it makes people feel alive and empowered. Criticism shrinks your spirit, it makes people close down, it disempowers them and it doesn't feel good. Unfortunately, it seems like Andrea has an addictive lust for people to praise her but regularly hands out lots of criticism, with the blind support, of her carefully selected posse, of highly proficient, loyal arse lickens.



Andrea's text continued...

nas been on edge.

So julie I have done my due diligence and I live what I talk about and I don't hide anything. I am very cognizant of people's stuff and their split personalities because on one hand your letter seemed nice and supportive but delivered with several knives of arrogance and know it allness. We are all works of art and I don't see anything that you have created in this world that would come close to what Ken and I have through many years of meeting people like yourself a being who likes to stick the knife in out of their own jealousy and rage. Imagine this is the most important day of our lives and just got a call from MM that we start tonight and I have to read through this bitterness and resentment of someone who thinks they know what they are talking about but doesn't have a clue. Had you waited until after today that would have been the best thing you could have done but no you wanted to prop yourself up with your self importance and thinking that is some how more what you say is more important than what is happening today. Karen Drucker the lounge singer has effected hundreds of thousands of people with her profound songs just like a song bird in a human body. I have seen hundreds of people cry when Amy put one of her songs on, shedding tears of joy or relief. She is an inspiration and would never write such a catty letter that you did to me. I will take the knife out and still proudly walk through the doors tonight to sign the new monetary system into existence which comes through my account because I built that with my resilience. Thank you for providing the funds that you did and you shall be handsomely rewarded but it doesn't let you off the hook from your migraines nor from your communication today that you think is oh so honest .



andrea\_0133 17:27 · 28 Mar 23

This was not the first time that julie has written me a letter. Actually there have been several where she slashes me and says I don't have credentials. She has put in quite a sum of money but what has come with it is she calls and write to Ken a lot and needs his attention. She ignores anything I say and anything I post in the health chat previously she says she is a real biologist and don't know what I am talking about. I think she comes from an aristocratic something in England and lives a pretty posh lifestyle.

In the above text you can clearly see Andrea becoming highly triggered and going into competition with Julie saying *“I don't see anything that you have created in this world that would come close to what Ken and I have through many years of meeting people like yourself a being who likes to stick the knife in out of their own jealousy and rage.”* If you read Julie's message to Andrea, I can't see any jealousy and rage in there whatsoever. I do see a massive projection from Andrea and her tone is highly disrespectful and whether you want to look at it or not, Andrea is not a nice person. It seems that if you don't appreciate something the way Andrea appreciates it, then you have a problem. Are we not allowed to have a difference of opinion or perspective, without the threat of an emotional outburst from Andrea? What we see is a massive promotion about just how much Andrea knows but the reality is she has absolutely no idea how to apply what she knows. She talks about doing the inner work the most but applies it the least. If you ever wanted to clarify what a

perfect image of misery would look like, Andrea is it. Andrea also says “*we are all works of art*” and if that is true, then the first image that comes to my mind, as Andrea being a work of art, is something created by Marina Abramović.

She also needs to mention that “*I will take the knife out and still proudly walk through the doors tonight to sign the new monetary system into existence which comes through my account because I built that with my resilience.*” How could anyone with any degree of intelligence believe that Julie was trying to stick a knife into Andrea? This is a total and completely unfounded projection from someone who is just so full of her own self-importance and extreme delusions of grandeur. Does Andrea really believe that she has built anything of value? It seems Andrea’s focus is totally on the “*me*” and she’s completely forgotten about the “*we*”? Could PTV actually have gone anywhere without the huge financial and energetic support of its members? Is she really that self-obsessed to not even acknowledge, or mention all of the people who have made PTV what it is today?



andrea\_0133 18:01 - 28 Mar 23


Thanks. I would say it goes a lot deeper. This has been going on since she joined and always directed at me. Ken can do no wrong but I remind her of someone that she has to go in competition with and then it is all fluffed up with all those words .

I know you have been on the UK calls with her and I wonder if she is coming from an authentic place with the work or being that 'professional' person who she continues to remind me that I am not.

from jolly Justin is right on as usual!

from Ken I agree with that, Julie is very fixed and rigid, as we already know, so she doesn't have any way of comprehending what you are saying about feeling in the body; she has written to me consistently about her focus on the masculine and feminine, so is driven to try to "figure that out" but in fact, she is using her intellectual mind to try to force it through, not her being. But as with all things, it will follow its course and she will eventually have to face it

As you can see there is a lot of stuff going on in the background with Andrea. It’s everyone’s else’s fault and her interpretation of Julie’s letter is seriously distorted. Now if I agree with her, Ken agrees with her, Jolly agrees with her and all of her arse lickens agree with her, then she must be right, according to her model of the world for being right? Right and remember there could be many other people in Andrea’s chain of agreement that we’re not aware off, giving her the conformation bias, she’s looking for? The game is rigged.



Unless you learn to face your  
own shadows, you will  
continue to see them in  
others, because the world  
outside you is only a reflection  
of the world inside you.

Andrea also mentions “*My intention for everyone here is to get assistance with doing this type of work.*” Now her intentions may be honourable, but this is a pure projection, of her model of the world, onto other people. Not everyone is broken, or would need to, or want to do this type of work. Some people might also find that this type of work might do more harm than good. There are 1000’s of different approaches to healing and it’s our choice, as to which approach, we feel most aligned with but Andrea thinks her path is the only one and the right one. Are we not allowed to have different perspectives or beliefs without suffering the wrath of Andrea? Below is another example of Andrea having another issue with a PTV member, but strangely I wasn’t forwarded any messages from Susanna.





andrea\_0133 22:22 - 8 Apr

Hi Justin, I want to share this letter I wrote to susanna who said some very nasty things to me last week including that she had talked to members and that they thought I was mean and all kinds of other things. She nor her husband hasn't done much here at all. She did this reading thing on me from some psychological belief system that I am avoidant and sycophant which my letter is about and I have suspended her because after writing what she did erased most of it about other members here which some of are in her CWS group. I am sharing it with you just to see how I write and what I have to deal with pretty well on a daily basis and so that is why Ken wrote what he wrote. She interviewed Ken along with Pam 2 years ago from their impossible team. Pam has done outstanding things but she really disappeared with her husband. Pam has seen my letter since they have been friends for 10 years and has been processing it and agrees with some of what I said. It was the flavor of how she did what she did which was so condescending and righteous that I reacted a bit but knew she just didn't get me nor what was required to be here. She has many New Age attachments as her original application was all about that with her spiritual name which she did change. I don't have much to show you of what she wrote to me since she erased a lot and changed it.



andrea\_0133 22:22 - 8 Apr



Susanna.docx

Show in Finder



andrea\_0133 22:25 - 8 Apr

jeffrey wrote this after reading what she wrote since I can trust him to see the New Age mind control programming: " Here we go again with a thinly veiled attack concealed by fear and doubt, the usual "arrogance shielding ignorance" trope. It's the same old strategies of blame, shame, guilt, and projection. And then gossip to others trying to get them to fight for you. 🙄 Yawn

Now, as you can see Andrea has gotten agreement from Jeffrey and this is her favourite strategy for the justification of her behaviour, so in Andrea's model of the world, it must be true. When I read Andrea's message to me, I thought that once again here is another member who has allegedly attacked Andrea and if you listen to Andrea complaining, you will see that that's a constantly recurring theme. Now at the time, whenever Susanna called Andrea a sycophant, I thought this was just another derogatory statement and there was no justification for it but once I read Andrea's message back to Susanna, I really saw a side to Andrea that was quite nasty and vicious. In the past I had only heard one



side of the story but if you study Andrea's letter to Susanna in detail, you will see that Andrea is in a highly charged emotional state and is projecting all of her unresolved emotional issues onto Susanna. Now for a woman who's a seminar junkie, who has most likely funded this seminar addiction, with donations from members and who purports to have been doing the inner work for the past 30 years, from my perspective, she has failed miserably. Andrea is still deeply traumatised and in a lot of psychological and emotional pain and she just looks like a very miserable person. She is just one of those people who has told her story over and over again, so many times, that she has now become her story. Her misery has become her identity and she truly is addicted to her suffering and she wants everyone to know, just how much she has suffered and that we should all feel so guilty because this intense suffering is for us. She's the ultimate martyr and we need to be grateful for her suffering. If Ken does ever waken up and get away from Andrea, then Andrea could go out on her own, as her story sounds like it could start a new religion?

Also, if you look at someone's eyes they never lie. This woman has a very deep dark energy that unless you know what you're looking for you may not see it but don't take my word for it. Now for NDA purposes you can't show this photo to anyone outside PTV but I would suggest that you find another one publicly online of Andrea and show it to someone you trust but who doesn't know Andrea and say to them... *"My friend was thinking of getting a new babysitter. What do you think about this lady?"* and just observe their response.



Now when you read Andrea's response to Susanna, you will see that there is a lot of projection and anger towards Susanna. I have seen a lot of things happen for approximately the last four years and one glaring pattern I see, is that not one person who has ever challenged Andrea has ever been right. It seems that if you listen to Andrea that everyone just gets triggered by her and wants to attack her. Why does this happen? Is absolutely everyone wrong? Do these people just want to attack her? Why do they feel compelled to attack her? Or is it that Andrea does have some deeply rooted issues that she hasn't dealt with and she's the one doing all of the projecting? Below is a copy of Andrea's message to Susanna, which you can read and if you look beyond the syntactically ill formed sentences, the poor punctuation and grammatical errors, you'll be able make up your own mind.

“Susanna, since you have not responded, made any effort to apologize for your behavior and gone into your next ploy of pretending none of this happened, labeling me as avoiding and a sycophant after an engagement of going into the machinations of your mind of projection when those are your traits of your mind, I am proceeding with having you suspended along with your husband from our society for 6 months.

So, as you can see Susanna and her husband were immediately suspended. No public hearing, no opportunity to discuss matters or let others observe. Is this the type of society you want to support, or be part off? Also, anyone that is suspended rarely ever comes back, except in exceptional circumstances. So, a suspension usually means the person is permanently removed.

It is interesting that the part of you saying that all these members think whatever of me has magically disappeared so what is that about? Taking evidence that you have been bad mouthing me and going into agreement with others in our society for what reason? Your behavior is quite odd coming out with this bravado of thinking you can analyze me but then retracting back into your hiding from me.

Now if you look at the following sentence, you’ll see that going into agreement with others is not allowed. *“Taking evidence that you have been bad mouthing me and going into agreement with others in our society for what reason?”* Is somebody not allowed to have a difference of opinion, or not allowed to have agreement with others without the threat of being removed as a member? Did Susanna retract because she realised the system was rigged against her and she didn’t want to be subjected to Andrea spewing all of her venom over her?

I find it curious that you come across as this new age guru that does inner work but actually you do what we call faux inner work, one that enhances your ego in competition and superiority which is really not good for our society.

If Andrea had actually done all of the inner work she talks about, then why would she be so triggered, by so many people? Is Andrea actually the one who’s been doing the faux inner work and she’s trapped by her own delusion of grandeur and self-importance? Why does Andrea allege that so many people are always wanting to attack her? Is it because they see beyond the veneer of Andrea’s projection and they see the massive incongruency between what Andrea says and what she really does, or is

it that Andrea freaks out whenever people with integrity call her out on it?

You truly do not get what we are doing here and I wonder what you have been doing all this time talking to our members (that we spend a lot of time with, teaching deprogramming) about me and how you would think this would be of mutual benefit in a mutual benefit society. Had you watched our videos you would know that we have said this is OUR game and this is MY home but since you are so busy with your life you don't seem to take in what we are really doing here, but you do seem to have time and think you can analyze me, try to influence people about me and make up stories and excuses not to do anything here. Most of the people that I have shared your interaction with on our board and privy council are appalled by your disgusting manner of speaking down to me and creating division amongst our members.

There are some really excellent people within PTV, but if Andrea asked certain members within the board or privy council about any member, she had an issue with, they are always going to agree with her. If they didn't agree with her, they wouldn't be allowed to be in that position. Andrea has set up a cybernetic loop, where she always gets the answers, she's looking for and then she uses that confirmation to justify her actions. It also appears that it's Andrea talking down to Susanna, not Susanna talking down to Andrea, but this clearly exposes the projection.

I fear that you have just influenced other members to your New Age thinking of being special from whatever it is you do, which to me is getting on a superficial platform of self-importance. Had you been studying our material you would see this is not going to peace which is fundamental to our society.

Andrea once again talks about peace but this behaviour isn't peaceful in any way. It's an attack on Susanna and this message says so much more about Andrea, than it does about Susanna. Even if Susanna did say things that Andrea didn't agree with, could Andrea, a person who talks so much about PTV being a society of peace and a person who has been doing so much inner work for decades, not lead by example and show true leadership?

As an added note, a being who I collaborate with, who is my closest friend and ally and is very psychically advanced said you have very well guarded attachments to entities that you have made agreements with to



create discord. Is it an accident that I got violently ill this week after my interaction with you? No not at all.

Another question I would ask is why did Andrea get so violently ill? Is it because Andrea is projecting all of this toxic energy towards Susanna and she's just vibrating at that frequency, or is it that inner work is only a concept to Andrea and one that she cannot actually apply? Also, what about the entities or "*being*" that Andrea chooses to interact with?

How does that make you feel on the most important week of our lives and this planet's life that you have to insert this type of thought form into our unified field to puff yourself up?

The above statement is also used to induce a feeling of guilt and using guilt, to achieve your outcome, is highly manipulative and unethical. It's also the most important "*week of our lives*" almost every week in PTV and has been for many years.

Don't worry, we will still proceed forward even with people like you around and you should really have a look if you can, what drives you to do that.

Andrea asks Susanna what drives her to do what she does but what actually drives Andrea to do what she does. Is this an example of high-quality leadership or leading by example? Not a chance!

You say you want change in the world and yet you attack the people that actually do things to make that happen?

Is asking uncomfortable questions actually an attack and are we not allowed to ask questions about things that we don't agree with or want clarity on?

You are really not that intelligent if you think your ego is superior and if you really care about your children and all the people in this world.

Does anyone reading this really think, that Susanna thinks her ego is superior? Does Andrea mean superior to her ego? Is this Andrea's unconscious belief that her ego is superior and is only being exposed now whenever we evaluate it? Is Susanna's intelligence being questioned now because she doesn't agree with Andrea's perception of the event? This is absolute manipulation and bullying behaviour and it's not an acceptable way to treat people.

You have no remorse for your actions from what I see and I can hear the wheels of justification in your mind for not really performing here or how you can be so catty to scratch at me because you have not moved forward in this process but have been parasitical.

So, Andrea is right and Susanna is wrong and Andrea is judge and jury and Susanna was removed because Andrea doesn't like what she heard. Has Andrea ever been wrong? Are we not allowed to have a difference of opinion without being removed? Are the wheels of justification actually spinning in Andrea's mind and we also need to ask ourselves, how many times has this happened with other members in the past? What specific criteria did Andrea use to justify calling Susanna a parasite? I can't see any evidence other than slander but is it a possibility, that Andrea is the parasite?

What a disappointment that you said that you were the Impossible Team and yet you berate someone that lives that daily and has never experienced anything that you do as doing the impossible.

You have no idea who I am and you are only just talking to yourself in all this.

I really think Andrea was absolutely correct here. People had no idea who Andrea really was, but once you see who she really is, to the core of her being, you can't unsee it. She does have some very noticeable, deeply ingrained, recurring behavioural patterns.

You have fear to confront and face yourself and you go for the people who you can manipulate with your other developed empathic senses that are just really senses of how to make your game better, not for what is Best For All, the purpose and mission of my creation.

It seems that the above paragraph is a perfect reflection of who Andrea truly is and an excellent example of projection. It also appears that Andrea believes that PTV is her creation and not a co-creation of all involved. Why would someone want to covet PTV rather than share ownership of it, especially if they truly wanted to make the world a better place? It really does sound like, it's all about me me me for Andrea.

In short you are gaming the system - our system. I have no idea who your husband is at all because he has no presence here but it is easy to see who runs the relationship if he agrees to your behavior and says

nothing and allows this. We do not like having one partner of a couple in, and one out for many reasons and from our experience.

Once again, it's Andrea's system and could it be possible that Andrea is gaming her own system? As admitted, Andrea has no idea who Susanna's husband is but she still feels the need to speak about him in a condescending manner. Is that an appropriate manner in which to behave, especially being that she calls herself the scribe of PTV?

This is what determined my decision. You calling me a sycophant.

sycophant; plural noun: sycophants

a person who acts obsequiously toward someone important in order to gain advantage.

"because he is high-ranking, he's surrounded by sycophants". Some common synonyms of sycophant are leech, parasite, sponge, brown noser and toady

This was something I must admit I previously overlooked but now in hindsight it makes total sense. I can see with such clarity why this triggered Andrea but it took quite me a while to figure it out, almost 4 years in fact. I had the opportunity to stay with Ken, Jolly and Andrea for a week in 2019. I had finished working as a Senior Leader, at a Tony Robbins event in Florida and something that I have done all over the world since 2007. Tony Robbins is also someone Ken completely disapproves of. I flew into see them in Los Angeles and all was great for the first few days. Then after a few days, as Ken and I were about to leave the house, Andrea viciously attacked him in such an aggressive manner that I was shocked. She spoke to him in such an inappropriate and disrespectful manner and what made it more humiliating for him, was that she completely emasculated him in front of me. Now for Andrea to do that in front of me was so wrong, on so many levels but this is where the sycophant comes in. Andrea covets Ken with such an intensity and has inserted her being so deeply within his, that she has almost encoded herself within his DNA. This has been done on so many different levels including emotionally, spiritually and most definitely energetically. She really is the ultimate parasite and it's so deep and so pervasive that Ken cannot see it. I would also like to mention that I did work with Andrea in 2019. Although I will not discuss the content of that work, I will say that I actually think Andrea has become much more nasty and bitter towards people over the years and she uses her inner work mantra, to deflect

from accepting any responsibility for her life, or the situation that she finds herself in.

So let's see, I have built a large society based on years of law study, years of hard work, spending all my inheritance, deep real inner work and have moved myself to where I can directly affect the whole system to make positive changes in the world despite being attacked endlessly by people who want to be where I am...and I am the sycophant?

So once again it's Andrea's society and she built it and on years of law. Now let's absolutely clarify something here, Andrea knows very little about anything other than moaning profusely and she most definitely doesn't know much about the law. She also is so self-absorbed that she has deleted Ken and all of the other members who have contributed a vast amount of time, money and effort also. She may have contributed her inheritance but others have given their inheritance and other members have given vast sums of money and brought their passion and creativity with them. Andrea says that she can "*directly affect the whole system to make positive changes in the world*" but is that really the case for her? It seems she has mastered the art of finding fault in many people and she likes to play the blame game. Another question is why is it that so many people attack her endlessly? It seems that everyone who attacks her is in the wrong and she is right? What is it that she does that so many people don't like? Is it her attitude, is it her behaviour, is it her addiction to significance, or is it her condescending tone, in which she portrays her delusion of grandeur? How is it mathematically possible that Andrea is always right and so many people get it wrong, or is it that they can see straight through her and feel compelled to call her out on it. Also, what determining criteria does she use to make the statement that people want to be where she is? Is this true, is it really true, or is it just another one of her projections?

We ask that people bring their being, passion, commitment, genius here and you brought what exactly? So far, I only hear excuses , false promises and a warped sense of reality thinking you have mastered the inner work to think I am a sycophant.

When you take a look at Andrea, do you see her passion, commitment and genius? I can't see it. All I can see is a very angry and controlling woman who likes to blame, criticise and project and if Andrea is the result of many decades of inner work, then it didn't work out very well for her. If you wanted to be rich, would you study the habits of poor people? If you wanted to be healthy, would you model the habits of sick



people? If you wanted to do inner work, would you want to model the habits and behaviours of people who are so angry and miserable all of the time? It seems Andrea can talk a good story but she looks like a really miserable person devoid of any joy or happiness. Ask yourself, does Andrea actually have any personal congruency, or does she look like someone you'd see on a massive billboard promoting a suicide hotline?

When you have done anything close to what I have then let me know but until then enjoy playing pretend inner work with people other than our members . Our members are actually here for freedom and you are steering them the wrong way and it is just not acceptable.

If Andrea was actually doing any real inner work, would she look like a depressed person? I think it's Andrea that's mastered the art of doing pretend inner work. People who are actually doing the real inner work don't moan about it and they don't seek constant validation for doing it. Most people who are doing inner work, are doing it in private and don't need people to know about it. She says our members are here for freedom but Andrea wants to control everything and everyone and especially free thinking, as it's not allowed unless Andrea says it's ok.

As I said, you are not in the real world and we don't like actors here that pretend to be, but doing something else. Had you been humble and self-honest perhaps you would have had a chance here but you took out the knife to think you could get me with your impotent rage and by your magical New Age thinking.

Is Andrea really the actor here and is she the one playing the pretend game? When you look up the dictionary meaning of humble it says... *"Having or showing a modest or low estimate of one's own importance."* Does Andrea need people to feel inferior in front of her, so that she can feed her lust for the feeling of superiority?

It doesn't work here and never will. Your knife went in deep and as Jolly said it was a full-on plunge into the chest, but I am bigger than that. I do not take your bullshit philosophy of me being avoidant or a sycophant and you can shove that right back where it comes from.

What if Susanna was actually correct and Andrea was really being avoidant? When you look at Andrea's patterns, I think you can clearly see those are sycophantic patterns?

The reason I have to be how and what I am is because of people like yourself who are so asleep and blind as to think that if they attack me or Ken that they will get something for that. Maybe in the AI heaven but certainly not here.

The reasoning behind Andrea's logic is absolutely absurd. Is asking questions forbidden? Can Andrea actually answer the questions rather than deflecting from them and attacking the person who has asked the questions? A very common strategy of narcissists is to attack and deflect, rather than respond to what was asked. This changes the focus where the person who asked the questions, ends up having to defend themselves from attack and the narcissist gets away without having to actually answer the uncomfortable questions that have been asked.

You have others fighting for your limitations that you have entrained and are still going after our members to join your camp. That is called divide and conquer which if you read our law form you would know that is not what we created Panterra for. I don't see after all this time you have embodied that but just did your programs here and when that didn't work sit in the background and make me wrong but hoping for the relief funds and you might do something with one emoji on the notices.

Why does Andrea need so many people to validate her? Why is she so angry whenever no one likes her posts? Is it because they don't value the content the same way Andrea does, or are they not interested in meaningless crap that Andrea posts? Is she that insecure that she needs constant reassurance, validation and approval?

After 6 months if you want to redo an application, you will have to start from square 1 and then have an interview by me at which I will really take you to task as to what you have done to work on these programs of denial and projection.

I doubt Susanna would ever subject herself to returning to an environment that is rigged to ensure that Andrea is always right. It also seems that Andrea has already decided the outcome of that interview well in advance?

You see you really revealed yourself by calling me a sycophant because it is so far from the truth. Go look in the mirror. You have no being of sovereign integrity cultivated just a lot of games and programs. I recommend that you start to do the real inner work of revoking and

rescinding all the contracts that you made with all those entities you carry with you and find out who you really are.

I think what triggered Andrea here, was that Susanna exposed who Andrea really is, to the core of her being. I think the above paragraph perfectly describes who Andrea really is and I think it's Andrea who really needs to take a look in the mirror. It seems that the word sycophant really triggers Andrea but as we all know, the truth will set you free.

So far, I am not impressed with what you have shown here. If I find that you have been talking about Ken and me in any negative aspersions to gain sympathy or to start an uproar then the door will not be open to you.

As you're well aware anyone who has been suspended is unlikely to ever return. Andrea's attitude and behaviour towards this woman is highly abusive and she is absolutely corrupted by whatever delusion of power she thinks she has.

I also require a full authentic apology with full self-honesty and what you have been really up to in our society. I know when people are lying and when they are telling the truth so don't make shit up like you just have.

Andrea really thinks her opinion matters but people only tolerate her because they have to. She talks about doing inner work the most but demonstrates it the least. She makes so much stuff up herself and blames everyone for her problems. I think Andrea is absolutely delusional and refuses to have any self-honesty and it's Andrea who makes so much shit up.

Perhaps what will make you wake up is to see where Ken and I go now and what will happen in this world. I can't say that you gave me any inspiration or support in that last 2 years that you have been here, whatsoever. You were just doing Susanna in the Susanna game and missed the opportunity of real growth and aliveness.

Sincerely,  
Andrea Teale  
Scribe of PTV

Now if you really look at Andrea's message to Susanna, you will see it's full of fear, projection, anger and venom. Is this a message that's written respectfully and a message that you'd expect from a person who claims to have done so much inner work? Do you really believe Andrea's letter is sincere? Do you believe it was respectful? Do you believe it was appropriate? Do you believe it was justified? Do you believe what Andrea actually says?



I'd like you to take a look at the above pictures. Now on the left we see Andrea's current Keybase profile picture and on the right, we see a more accurate and up to date picture of Andrea. Now, why would Andrea use a profile picture that looks like it's decades old? Is she stuck in the past? Is the picture on the left Andrea's own romantic self-image of who she'd like us to think she is, and the one on the right is how we really see her? Now imagine, what a before and after photo of what 30 intense years of inner work would look like? Would the above photos be an inspiration to follow the path Andrea followed towards her inner work, or would it be an extreme warning to run the other way? Is a picture worth a thousand words?




**jollydee\_621** 16:18 - 25 Apr


OK, thank you for that. It seems that Pam has come around a bit. She had an interaction with Andrea lately, where Andrea called her out on her thing with susanna, and Pam had an immediate reaction, and then came back and apologized, and things seem to be better now. The thing with Julie is still, as mentioned earlier, hanging.



I think Pam's reaction was appropriate, especially has Andrea had treated her good friend so disrespectfully. Now I'm not sure if you see the pattern yet, but Andrea had an issue with Susanna, an issue with Pam, an issue with Julie, an issue with Sara, an issue with Monica, an issue with another NZ lady, who subsequently somehow vacated her membership, an issue with me and there's so many more people that Andrea has had issues with. Now do all of these people have issues and are the problem, or is it Andrea that has the issue and she's the one doing all of the projecting? Maybe if we removed Andrea out of the equation the issues might stop immediately? Would people celebrate if she was removed?



Now here's another correspondence from Andrea about Julie.



One pattern I really do see, is that Andrea makes the snowballs and Ken throws them and if Andrea is upset with someone, she'll get Ken to do her dirty work. Another issue is that Andrea and Ken, have surrounded themselves with arse lickers and you know exactly, who you all are and if that's where you want to invest your passion and brilliance, that's fine. Now, these are the people who always agree with what Ken and Andrea say and their position within that inner circle is dependent, on being highly proficient at the much-needed psychological gratification, of the aforementioned art of arse licking, along with their unquestionable and continuous blind allegiance.



jollydee\_621 15:35 - 24 Apr

Yes, we will totally learn from this and not be hooked in by her for so long! She is definitely a master at playing that role, and will probably continue to do so.

From here, especially after we actually do activate, everyone is going to be unveiled, and we will see who everyone really is, and Ken and Andrea are adamant that we are not going to tolerate any people who are not being authentic, or at least starting to be aware that they are not authentic, and they are actually working on it.

None of it it will be easy, but we will become pretty ruthless, and people are going to have to state why they really are here. Our protocols for reactivation of membership are pretty clear, insofar as they require people to state their absolute intent and purpose of being a member, and after activation, all this money part will be gone, so the people who are here for the money will also be gone!

Are Ken and Andrea not already Ruthless? If this is how they behave whenever they still need donations, what will they be like once donations are no longer needed? I think that this amount of power, concentrated in the hands of a totally unaccountable individual, with a serious lack of transparency, is highly dangerous, especially with someone who's ethical boundaries from my perspective, have become very blurry recently.




andrea\_0133 20:17 - 24 Apr

hi justin, when I wrote this I had no idea about what happened with the NZ group last night until jolly just told me. personally if we had known we would have just told Sarah to leave since she has been an energy vampire since the get go. she had a kniption when we kicked out Kylee and James who did horrendous things which you may know about


As you can see above another 2 members were removed but I'm unsure about the reason why?





On another note, I had watched and shared a video in the News Gems Keybase group, which really showed just how sophisticated the AI had

become, to the degree that it would be almost impossible to distinguish the computer-generated image from the real person. I thought this was really important to know, because if we knew about their levels of sophistication, it would make it much more difficult for us to be deceived by it. The thing here, was that it was about the dangers of AI and it was done by a human exposing this technology but Andrea got triggered by it, before she could even understand what was being said. What she missed because she got so triggered, was that this was about just how sophisticated the AI had become. This was done by a human, showing how this technology was so advanced, that many humans could not tell the difference and I thought it added great insight but Andrea said “*very good*” which was just a sarcastic comment and another display of her true self. She had also commented on something that she hadn’t even watched. Whether you agree or not with my commentary, just look at the patterns of behaviour and watch how repetitive and predictable these patterns are? It appears that Andrea made an assumption about that video and believed it was created by AI, but realistically it was just an error in judgement on her behalf. She was just so reactive, that she got triggered before she could even process what was being communicated.



The screenshot shows a Facebook comment thread. At the top, a comment by **justin\_1470** (12:33) says: "I thought that was news worthy because it shows how insidious the AI algorithms have become... Duration 13.06 <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mlR9fCXfWyM>". Below this comment are reaction icons: 1 person reacted with a blue diamond, 1 person with a thumbs up, and several other icons (thumbs down, love, wow, sad face, share). Below the reactions is a reply from **andrea\_0133** (14:12) to **justin\_1470**. Her reply says: "Very good and how much of that dead internet is shared here? I have always maintained that lots that is posted is AI. things have gotten much better because we insisted on saying this is a news gem because.....How much AI stuff have I had to look at and delete here? How much has everyone here really investigated what they share as to its validity or just post things automatically?". Below her reply is another comment from **justin\_1470** (14:36) saying: "I watched it in its entirety and I thought it was useful to understand just how pervasive the AI was. It's become almost impossible to trust anything anymore.". Then, **andrea\_0133** (14:39) replies: "This is why we are creating GEMA.". Finally, **francois\_2401** (14:39) replies: "My walk in the jungle is way more beificial than browsing inconsciously... GEMA and not GEMAI!".

**justin\_1470** 12:33  
I thought that was news worthy because it shows how insidious the AI algorithms have become... Duration 13.06 <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mlR9fCXfWyM>

1 1

**andrea\_0133** 14:12  
**justin\_1470**  
I thought that was news worthy because it shows how insidious the AI algorithms have become... Duration 13.06 <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mlR9fCXfWyM>

Very good and how much of that dead internet is shared here? I have always maintained that lots that is posted is AI. things have gotten much better because we insisted on saying this is a news gem because.....How much AI stuff have I had to look at and delete here? How much has everyone here really investigated what they share as to its validity or just post things automatically?

**justin\_1470** 14:36  
I watched it in its entirety and I thought it was useful to understand just how pervasive the AI was. It's become almost impossible to trust anything anymore.

**andrea\_0133** 14:39  
This is why we are creating GEMA .

**francois\_2401** 14:39  
My walk in the jungle is way more beificial than browsing inconsciously...  
GEMA and not GEMAI !



Now from my perspective, what I had posted did not warrant Andrea's response to it. It seems like she just likes to moan and because she's in so much pain, she feels everybody needs to suffer along with her. It seems that the saying "*misery loves company*" is so true.

So, my opinion is that Andrea is an absolute narcissist with the overlapping behaviours of a sociopath. This woman is highly unethical, she's extremely manipulative and she will remove anyone who questions her perceived authority. She's also seriously overweight and I'm not sure if she's an emotional eater but people regularly use food as a form of anaesthetic to distract themselves from their suffering. This is not a judgement it's just an observation. Our bodies are a perfect reflection of what we do to them on a daily basis. She constantly claims that she's under constant attack and that she has to put up with so much. Perhaps the reality is that it's the members who have to put up with so much?

I remember a few years ago that I was told about the Upland House. Something big happened at that time but I only have a few details about it. From my understanding there was a woman possibly called Susan, but I'm not sure, who could really see through all of Andrea's really controlling and unethical behaviours and it eventually surfaced and it unavoidably came to Ken's attention. Now rather than dealing with the true problem which was Andrea, Ken removed the other lady and continued to enable Andrea's behaviour. Now I'm not certain of the actual sequence of events but I know Andrea was the problem and no one's allowed to talk about it. I really think Andrea is the biggest obstacle to the success of PTV and Ken has to really take a close look at himself and decide what he really wants to achieve in this lifetime. I believe that PTV cannot succeed with Andrea being part of it. She's not a nice person, she talks about inner work but displays the characteristics of a bullying tyrant who has weaponised Ken to do her dirty work.

If you look at the date stamp on the next screenshot, you'll see Andrea is having another issue with Monica, a member in NZ at the same time, she's having issues with other members, in the UK and I don't think they know each other.



andrea\_0133 12:55 - 25 Apr

got this from monica who has never written to me and I have no idea who she is: hi Andrea, just want to reply to that 1st in the 3 series inner work just posted as I have only finally got around to it. you keep asking why people aren't giving it their all as you see it... which is the problem, its your standard. you seem to forget that we are all still stuck in the matrix, trying to fight through it and pay the bills just to survive. we don't have a team to call on when bills are due. we don't have time to sit and watch videos where you are criticizing us and complaining about us and say we are not doing enough or paying enough. I have an idea of what you are going through as our situation is beyond rough too, but complaining about us all the time isn't the way to handle it in my opinion (which means nothing I know). you beg for money and say were not doing enough. I can understand also why people are just leaving and dont believe it as in all videos you say activation is happening within a week. its been years and hasn't happened yet. we also have to keep in mind when it doesn't happen again, we still need to put food on the table for us and our kids. we are doing what we can, please stop saying its not enough. a better inner work homework assignment would be to maybe book a half hour with you, and then you can hear our stories and know what we are going through and maybe help us provide a plan as I know personally, I have no idea where to start as I've been living on the edge between a rock and a hard place for over 10 years. I don't even know where to start fighting to bet better besides trying to find time to get my head on straight with the limited time I have.

In the above screenshot you can see that Andrea has just said she has “*no idea who she is*” but in the next screenshot she says “*From what I see all you do is complain all the time*”. This is such a ridiculous contradiction and Andrea has so many of them if you just look. She just can’t resist opening her mouth and spewing all over people.

So, Monica wrote to Andrea and then Andrea responded to Monica.



andrea\_0133 16:38 - 25 Apr

What I wrote to Monica: From what I see all you do is complain all the time and just use me for your blame game. Not participating with you Monica and you just took the inner work and shit on it instead of deeply looking at the mess you have created for yourself and using me for the fall guy. It is truly a ridiculous letter of resentment and anger that you take no responsibility for your own life so it shows me you don't live what we teach. What a shame that you are teaching your children how to be a blame machine by example because now you we have another generation of children who won't take responsibility for their own lives and will complain. It is clear that you do not belong here and have no idea what we are doing and one day you will regret what you just wrote to me because it doesn't talk to the spirit of what we created but to your selfishness and self focus,

Why not get out of your victimhood and be like jessie who just turned 80?

Monica then responded to Jolly the same day and then Jolly forwarded it to Andrea and then Andrea forwarded this to me.



andrea\_0133 22:07 - 25 Apr

She just sent this to jolly From Monica to me now.....

.....  
I've reread my note to Andrea and gotten the letter from Ken. They are asking why we don't respond quickly, I'm just offering my opinion. These inner work videos are complaining about what we do and even if we are working our butts off we get lumped in the category of we're not doing enough. I'm just asking to stop complaining about us. I'm not saying they don't do enough, I'm not saying they don't have a hard go of it. I get that, but we are all living it hard. Please stop attacking me. I am part of many groups, the leader of the CWS group, I am trying my best to be a helping member of this group and further the goals. I just feel like we are always being attacked. Why is that so wrong? I'm not expecting you to save me. I never said that. I'm asking for you to stop complaining about us. I love the society and all that it is doing, I believe in the goal and am working to get it better, but as they ask for us to stop attacking them, I'm asking for the same, stop attacking us?

Now Andrea once again makes the snowballs and Ken throws them. Monica and her husband were then immediately suspended by Ken for 6 months, which usually means forever because as Monica said "*I'm just offering my opinion*" in a message to Jolly?

Here is Ken's suspension letter to Monica in which he references the art of war. Now are Ken's actions an appropriate response to the questions Monica asked? Is Ken perhaps engaged in an internal war within himself? There are only 4 pages but it has once again been indexed incorrectly.



House of Peace – Society for Life  
Mutual Benefit Society  
Private Contract Association

*Office of Overseer*  
*Protector and Advocate for the People*



**To Monica\_2269, from Office of Overseer - 2023-04-25**

In regards to your communication to Andrea received this morning, I am writing to you as follows:

The statement you made that you have been "living on the edge between a rock and a hard place for over ten years" tells us that you have long before you met PTV or Ken and Andrea been in a negative situation in your life. That is entirely your creation and not ours, nor is it our responsibility to take on fault, guilt, or blame. The rest of your letter tells us that you have now engaged what we have seen play out for years "You owe me, it's your fault" because we didn't save you soon enough. We are not here to save you or anyone else. We are not here to be blamed for your problems. We are here to share the wisdom we have gained in our lives and our path. Do you think our lives and path have been a path of roses? We can compare our travails and trials to anyone's and show that ours have been more intense, more prolonged and with, in most cases, been significantly larger in scope and scale. But we do not complain or project that onto others, that is the essence of Self-Responsibility. We take full responsibility in everything we have created because that has been the path that has led to our ultimate success.

We are engaged in a Herculean and heroic effort to do something for the whole world, and have tremendous negative forces arrayed against us and those involved in this project (not just PTV but the entire MM project). We don't beg for money, we tell the facts of what we are dealing with and encourage





people to rise above their smaller selves and their individual issues to step up. The part that is about the money is directed to those who have some to spare but choose to just hang around and wait for the benefits without taking up any of the risks. You are not in that category, we know you are not with such funds, but you have entered a contract that states you are here in your Being with Passion and Commitment, which does not include room for blame or projection. So it is you, in fact, that are in breach of contract, not us.

I just reread your post to me privately on November 14<sup>th</sup> and find that your choice of words reflects something much deeper than this issue. You wrote "...having the next delay is just killing me." Do you have any clue what it is like for someone to project that onto another being, especially one (two actually) who is/are working tirelessly and have given every single ounce of their passion and beings to make this happen, not just for you, but the entire world. We are not killing you, you are killing yourself. We did not contribute to your 10 or 11 years of struggle so do not and will not accept that burden as you have unconsciously attempted to put it on us. You have not taken up the real value and opportunities here that we have tirelessly and selflessly provided you and every other member.

Certainly, you have had 11 years to correct your course and you have only your own family to provide for, we have so much more than that to carry. You say several times "I am not complaining..." but that is in fact exactly what you do. You did it with me in November, you did it with Andrea today, and you did it with Justin a month ago. I am not unsympathetic to your plight, we have been there ourselves for much more than 11 years because we had to walk a very thin line of staying out of the Matrix entirely so that I could achieve the status and standing that was required to do the work now. You did not have that burden, you have equal opportunities to provide for yourself and you have squandered that opportunity to just hang your hat on the "please get it done to save us from our quagmire that we created ourselves" post.

As to your point that I should not have continued to say: "We're done" over and over, I explained that numerous times in my videos and in chats, that I did that to stay in the present moment and to keep us focused and on track no matter what happened the next hour or the next day to change it. I also described it in the larger context of how the military was managing communications and having to deal with spies, moles, agents, and others in our midst and that at times, [in the Art of War](#), it is necessary to appear weak when one is strong, and strong when one is weak, and to use all the tools of distraction and misdirection to confuse the opponent. It was all purposeful and by design, and you had all the information you needed to adjust accordingly and not project onto me that I was killing you.

So now you have an opportunity to reflect on all this and see how you can actually benefit and grow from it all.

Our contract about the money part is very clear: those who donate will receive their return as stated conditional upon the event that we have stated clearly needs to happen. If you are waiting for us to save



you and deliver the money you need, side by side with the attitude you display, and that includes the attitude you expressed in your call with Justin, you are not at all in alignment here. Our FPM contracts are clear, too. They require directed effort in the Inner Work founded on the primary principle of Self-Responsibility. They do not include room for projection and blame. We have a zero-tolerance policy that we will not tolerate a continuing attitude of such directed at us, and therefore, we have now taken the steps that we have had to do with many others, which is a six-month suspension with specific requirements as described below if you wish to re-apply after that period. This will include the suspension of your husband as well, since it does not work to leave one spouse in and the other suspended.

When we directly state that people are not contributing it is not only about the money, it is about the work to build what we are doing. That doesn't cost any cash out of pocket, just the effort to give up the story about why it can't be done. You apparently haven't given up that story. And your suggestion that we should dedicate a half hour to each member to hear their stories is ludicrous. That would equate to hundreds of hours for us to have to be the trash can into which everyone dumps all their pain and suffering, just so that we can carry all that additional burden. That statement alone shows us just how self-focused you really are, and tells us everything that we need to know about whether you really belong in this society or not. We have provided more practical solutions for our members to do their own work than is available anywhere, for free, along with the opportunity to achieve and be something in Life that few even know exists, much less work hard and tirelessly around the clock to manifest. If the process is slower than we want and you have an issue with that, that is your problem, not ours. We choose not to take it on, nor to be the sounding board for your anger, pain, resentment, and the rest of it.

For now, you are suspended from the society based on this. Your presence here serves no purpose for the intentions of this society, so you will be removed from all chats and access to GU within moments after this is sent to you. Now you have a choice. You are suspended for six months, during which time you can do the work and reflect on yourself and your life and see what you have created as your own. Or not. The choice is yours. If you choose to actually self-reflect and take responsibility for your own creations then you can submit a Returning Member package that will provide full details of what we require. If you wish to take that step it will be reviewed and processed according to our protocols and policies, at the pace and timing of our choosing. If you do not wish to take that step, you can simply enjoy the benefits of being a GEM member as we develop and open up everything that will be available to such members.

You will receive your relief funds when transfers are made in the amount of \$5,600 USD as you requested. The balance of your trust account is available to you at any time after we have taken the 2-4 weeks to organize our accounting and management procedures, as we have stated many times. The total we show for your donations is \$279 between yourself and your husband, making the entire amount in trust at \$55,800 USD. If you wish all of it to be sent immediately, you need to inform us of the change in the next

24 hours. If not, we will send the requested amount and the balance will be available to send within 30 days or less from the day of activation. Anything left in your trust account will be available according to our standard protocols for all members, whether FPM or GEM. Those details will be available to all members after we have set up our protocols, administration, and account management systems within the aforesaid 30 day period.

If you wish to return after the suspension period, please write to [member.support@panterrapca.org](mailto:member.support@panterrapca.org) and an instruction package will be sent to you.

Sincerely, Kenneth Scott



So, now that we've gotten the trivia out of the road, let's move onto Ken in more depth.

I was carrying out the interviews for PTV, in the role of lead interviewer. I had also read the applications of 44 new applicants within the previous few weeks and then evaluated them. One of those applications was from Mona Hofmann and even before I interviewed her, I had raised concerns with Jolly.



justin\_1470 13:37 - 26 Apr

What do you know about Mona?

4. Mona Hofmann is 51 and from Germany. Born Ute Hofmann, she mentions that her "preferred name is Mona is daughter of God."

She also has that New Age hippy vibe going on?

Question: How would you describe honor and integrity – what do these words mean to you?

Partial Answer: Share ...and primarily by virtue of the Living environments" what I know about truly healing from satanic wickedness and sex magic and their sodomy harvester keys used to install DEATH from within... with the million boys...never speaking.....now targeted openly by death spitting feminism and whatever social engineering nonsense is used to bring in the full-on genocide programs

There's also a huge amount of her NMQ Missing.

She also said this "I CANT WAIT TO GET STARTED AND MEET THE TEAM...and see what you have done already...I have not read most of the documents ...but dipped in and out into ALL OF THEM..."

I really struggle to see why she's being fast tracked?



jollydee\_621 14:03 - 26 Apr

Hi Justin - this is all Ken's choice and decision. He spoke to her at length a couple of times. We then do as directed by him in these cases.

Now this was the text before the interview but I wanted to post it now because of its relevance. If you're in Team Buddy, I would suggest you watch the interview before in the likely possibility, the evidence is removed. You can also see that in the question of what honour and integrity meant to her, she responded about sex magic and sodomy, which I thought was an area of concern. Did she not understand the question or is she so heavily fragmented that she struggles to hold onto reality? Also, what was it about this lady that Ken was so attracted to, that he was willing to remove all of the entry criteria for her?





jollydee\_621 14:25 - 26 Apr

Yes, probably just as well to interview her now and feel her out, and then give a report of your thoughts about her.

It's just interesting when this sort of thing happens with ken. People contact him privately and he then will sometimes, as in this case engages one-on-one communications, whereby in this case he talked to her twice on the phone. Apparently, she was in some sort of family that did strange (maybe satanic) stuff, rather like the elite stuff, but he felt that she was somebody that would greatly benefit from being a member. However, Andrea does not feel quite the same, although she has not spoken to her one-on-one. As you know, Andrea has this innate ability to feel into people very quickly and although she cannot always put her finger on exactly what she is feeling, she's almost always right! Therefore, if you feel that Mona would be not appropriate to be a member at this time, I would like you to speak up and say so, and obviously, why you would be concerned to allow her in as a full member.

----

I think that you are aware that we have had a very low success rate with people who have been fast tracked. Many of them were what I call super fast tracked, when they did not even have to send an essay before I allowed them into the website. These were all on direction from Ken. A few of the early ones were on direction from Andrea having read very well written essays. She then said she would not do that anymore, and did not do it after she said she would not do it. Ken, on the other hand, does tend to override the process when someone makes the direct connection with him, and he thinks there is something particularly special about them, and then I do ask directed, even when I have qualms about doing that!



justin\_1470 14:47 - 26 Apr

There's very little information on her paperwork and she's likely to be high maintenance from what I see but I'll update you once I'm finished with the innerview.

Now as Jolly as openly admitted above "*we have had a very low success rate with people who have been fast tracked.*" There was also a lot of Mona's application paperwork missing and she had absolutely no idea what was really going on. Based on the interview criteria that we had used since I started doing the interviews, I then proceeded to do an interview with Mona and shared it with Andrea.



andrea\_0133 15:33 - 26 Apr

You'll have to talk to Ken about Mona because she is Jewish from Germany and has a very strange lineage with the elites and Ken is fascinated with that stuff. She calls him and they talk and he suggested she join.

I personally think she has some strange violent past stuff,



justin\_1470 15:36 - 26 Apr

I'll update you once I'm finished innerviewing her.

She also didn't fill out her questionnaire properly and many questions are missing.



andrea\_0133 16:01 - 26 Apr

I will talk to Ken today on our walk.

The following screenshot is what I sent Jolly after the interview.



justin\_1470 16:47 · 26 Apr

I think you need to buy Ken some better quality stuff to smoke. I'm confused about what he saw in her but it his decision. You need to watch a little bit of the video and tell me if I've got this wrong?

EDITED



jollydee\_621 16:52 · 26 Apr

Haha! Sometimes, he really does get it very wrong! It's something about these sort of "damsels in distress" that he gets hooked in by! Of course, he would never admit to anything like that!

I'm on the last part of my walk now, so I will go back and talk to Andrea about this, and I will be able to look at some of the recording better from there. On my phone, I cannot see the video, I can only listen and then if I do anything else other than listen to it, then I lose it all and I have to start it over! It is not the right way to view these interviews!

Anyway, we must have a proper dialogue about this. We do not want any more high maintenance members!

Now I want to clarify that I do not have an issue with Ken and his preferences, even if “*sometimes, he really does get it very wrong!*” Or even if he gets hooked in by the “*damsels in distress*” and or the fast-tracking system, even if they are high maintenance. If Ken chooses to focus his energy on the “*damsels in distress*”, that’s his choice and it’s also possible, that he gets some much-needed momentary relief from wallowing in Andrea’s energy? I’m also delighted that Jolly has openly admitted that even though it could be true about Ken “*he would never admit to anything like that!*” I’m glad to see her mind is open and she’s being completely honest. She also confirms that “*we do not want any more high maintenance members!*”

**This was my summary of the Mona Hofmann interview.**

*“Mona Hofmann was very triggered by me during the innerview. She really struggles to actually answer any question and consistently goes off on elaborate meaningless tangents. I think this woman is still processing very deep levels of trauma and she finds it very hard to focus. I think her presence in PTV would be highly disruptive, especially at the crucial moment in time. She has so much projection going on and this is the first person ever that I’ve said that I don’t think she should become a member. Her life is in chaos and I think she would need to do some deep inner work.”*



After the interview I uploaded everything to the Team Buddy group and a little while later I got this message from Jolly.



jollydee\_621 19:28 - 26 Apr

Hey - OK, I've got cleaned up, had some breakfast and am at the computer catching up with everything.

I watched about half of your chat with Peaches and Jane and I like them a lot - I read your summary, and I see that you think they will fit as members too - that is so great that after all they donated in \$\$\$, they also are going to come with their Being, Passion, Commitment and Excellence!

Regarding Mona - I have literally only watched a couple of minutes of the recording, and I can see what you mean about her being unhinged! I talked to Andrea about her when I got back, and she thinks that Ken is sort of fascinated by her history - of how her "elite family" survived through the war in Germany, and more about her family history and perhaps what she actually experienced at the hands of her family regarding what the elites do to their young ones etc.

Andrea said for me to talk to Ken about this, and he had said to her before he left (he went for a haircut so he doesn't completely look like the Mad Professor when he gets started with LT soon), and he had said he would talk to you about her. I will share your summary, and the video with him so that he has it if he wants to watch any of it, but he has talked to her at length, and as I said, he wants her in asap. Andrea told me that Ken said that he told Mona that she would have "safe haven" with us - she was apparently thrown out of another group and is very insecure.

If we do go ahead, I would warn Ulrike not to get hooked by Mona, and not to play the "helper" because Mona has to help herself, and Ulrike is such a kind and giving woman, that she would help as much as she can, even though she is so very busy doing the translations.

Now as you can see from the above text Jolly said... *“Regarding Mona - I have literally only watched a couple of minutes of the recording, and I can see what you mean about her being unhinged!”* So, before Jolly spoke to Ken, she was in agreement, in that she thought Mona was unhinged. Now if Ken had of communicated with me beforehand and discussed Mona with me, I could have lowered the entry level criteria and brought her in as a member, if that was what fascinated Ken.



jollydee\_621 18:08 - 27 Apr

.....


I've got back to the house, and spoken to Ken and Andrea - Ken did watch your talk with Mona and he has some important feedback for you. He says to hold off on further interviews until he has given you some feedback regarding your approach. He is doing numbers right now, but he plans to write to you in the next hour or so.

The above message was sent to me by Jolly and you will notice that it was Ken's intention to write to me within an hour or so. In reality what happened was that Ken didn't write to me within an hour or so. What did happen was that he posted a letter to me publicly in the ptvcotp.inner\_resolution group more than 3 days later.

Now most of you will remember that whenever a member is filling out an application form, in the questions section, you are asked... *"How reliable are you? How do you follow through on something, or not?"* The next question is *"Are you conscious of time in this reality – are you punctual, or always running late?"* How did it go from being one hour for Ken to respond to me, to it taking over 3 days? Is there one standard for members and another standard for Ken? It appears that there are some double standards?

Now I'm not sure if Ken got challenged with me saying what I had said about Mona, especially because I said she shouldn't be in PTV and he had wanted her in. You have to remember, that I was never told that Ken had guaranteed Mona a place in PTV until after the interview. Also, most of Mona's paperwork was not completed, or was missing and I already had raised concerns about her suitability, as a member before the interview. If Ken had changed the entry criteria, that was something that I should have been informed of before the interview, not after. I applied the exact same rules to Mona as I had applied to other members. I think it's highly unethical that Ken would change the entry criteria and then blame me for saying what I had said about Mona. The system that was in place worked perfectly and as it was supposed to do. The only unknown variable in the event for me is, did Ken see my comments about Mona and have a reaction because he felt challenged because of what I had said, or did Andrea see my comments about Mona, which were the direct opposite of what Ken had wanted and she got triggered and then pumped Ken to do something? Either way Ken would not disclose the specific order and sequence of events leading up to me being told to stop doing the interviews, until he spoke to me. His letter to me appeared to be a reverse engineered reaction to whatever had triggered him, after he was aware of the Mona interview. Did Andrea frame my interview with Mona in a certain negative way or did Ken just have a knee jerk reaction to it because he felt challenged because of what I have said in my summary regarding Mona. I have absolutely no problem receiving feedback and would always welcome it. What happened with Ken, was an extreme reaction and as I tried to get to the source of that reaction. Ken was determined to imply that I had acted unreasonably and that I couldn't accept the feedback. The truth was that I wasn't willing to accept his lack of ecology and integrity, in how he dealt with the matter. From

my perspective, Ken abused his position and used it to silence me, rather than address the issues I had raised. I believe Ken behaved in a dishonourable manner and I was really shocked by his behaviour. In his following letter to me you will see that he wanted me to give more of an overview of PTV but anyone who had went through the normal channels would have had a much deeper understanding of PTV. We also in the past used our membership numbers during an interview, because it made it easy for all of the different members, to be easily identified but this was something that really triggered this woman. Now massaging the entry level criteria, without telling me, for a person who is seriously emotionally challenged, even though she may be a good person, is ethically wrong. This looks like a “*woke*” attitude to doing things and one that is ultimately destined to fail. It seems the system had to be modified to accommodate Mona rather than Mona working within the existing system. I’m just curious if Ken’s predilection for damsels in distress, or Mona’s possible satanic past, with her references to sex magic and sodomy, have somehow clouded his judgement, especially whenever you consider, that the fast-tracking approach operated by Ken, has had such a poor success rate? I wonder what truly motivates Ken and his fast-track approach?

- 
- The screenshot shows a chat interface with five messages. Each message is preceded by a small circular profile picture and the username of the sender. The messages are as follows:
- jollydee\_621** 18:40 - 10 Mar 23  
We had stopped doing Fast Track because the record of members who we Fast Tracked, ended up being not good in the way of future participation. But, we can keep it as a possibility. Travis is being what I am terming as "Semi-Fast-Tracking" whereby we are getting him onto the GU site before his interview - it is right now, purely because he is willing to give us some \$\$\$ and we REALLY need them right now!
  - justin\_1470** 18:41 - 10 Mar 23  
That's fine, I think it can be useful in a certain context.
  - jollydee\_621** 18:42 - 10 Mar 23  
Yes - case by case scenario!
  - justin\_1470** 18:45 - 10 Mar 23  
I'd say David Joel was one of these?  
EDITED
  - jollydee\_621** 18:45 - 10 Mar 23  
Haha - yes! He was just added in - no application, nothing!! lol

I also want to admit to a gross error in judgement. Now this was on the 1<sup>st</sup> of May and the person I was talking about was Andrea. I just didn't see it until I saw it, and once I saw it, I couldn't unsee it but it seems jolly made an assumption that I was talking about Mona in the following screenshot.

## Team and Member coordinator

jollydee\_621 • Came into life, shoveled several tons of shit, woke up, found PTDO, came to visi...



justin\_1470 21:02 - 1 May

I also want to admit that I had recently made a gross error in judgement whenever I did an evaluation. An error in judgement that most likely no one would have ever noticed but it's important for me to have full transparency, full responsibility, integrity and authenticity in all that I do.



jollydee\_621 21:31 - 1 May

justin\_1470

Hi Jolly, you absolutely said that Ken wanted her fast tracked and I was fully aware of that. What I wasn't told was that this woman had not read anything about PTV beforehand, had not filled out a lot of her paperwork and was guaranteed membership no matter what. What I wa...

It seems that you were not aware of what "Fast Tracking" has been, and has meant.

Basically, here are the definitions of this and other similar terms:


1. **Fast tracked** - accepted as a member from just the Essay and NMQ answers with no interview.
2. **Super Fast Tracked** - accepted as a member with no documents at all - just from some sort of connection directly with Ken.
3. **Semi-Fast-Tracked** - accepted with stages and steps out of order - basically, get the wo/man onto GU as fast as possible, and then get the other pieces done asap (Essay/NMQ if not already done, interview, My Gift, ProtonMail etc.)

So, other than the first one, it usually means that Ken has spoken to this man or woman and has said that we will get them into GU right away and then that I would direct them with the other requirements - if required or not. This often would mean that this wo/man had only just found us, and Ken saw something special in him/her, and wanted to get him/her into GU asap!! The first one was more done when Andrea had read an exceptional Essay and stated that we could accept that applicant right away. We had a few bad experiences with that, so we didn't do it after that.

As you can see from the above message, I was not aware of the system Jolly talks about but I think it's simple enough to understand? Now if I had been given this information beforehand, I could have made the necessary adjustments to help accommodate Ken's wishes.

The following communication was posted by Ken in the ptvcotp.inner\_resolution group with approximately 10 members. Isn't it interesting that PTV COTP Inner Resolution Group wasn't even able to openly discuss this matter, never mind resolving it?





Ken says I need to provide more “*detail and context*” when I first introduce myself. Now that may be appropriate if the person doesn’t know anything about PTV and hadn’t done any research but I didn’t know that upfront and Ken, or anyone else didn’t communicate that to me. It would also take a lot longer to do an interview because I would have to explain, so many more things that any other applicant would already know. The interview criteria were changed from “*we need to be*



*vigilant and ensure we don't get any more people like Terry in" to let's rig the system to ensure this person gets in, but we're not going to tell you the rules of the game have changed and it's your fault you didn't know. This is reverse engineering and it's not acceptable.*



Even in the first few sentences of the above screenshot, you can see that Ken refers to the essay, questionnaire and other items. Most of this was missing with Mona and the information she did provide was seriously fragmented and seemed to be written by someone who was totally out of

their mind. Ken also mentions that *“we have matured in our experience with over two thousand members”*. I’m really surprised at Ken suggesting that I tell the applicant that PTV has over two thousand members. I don’t think this is ethical and a concerning behaviour from someone with the title of *“Office of the Overseer”* and *“Protector and advocate for the People”*. The only thing I ever see Ken protecting is his cash flow?

We want to know if this is something you are able to commit to doing. One of the key points about the Inner Work is addressing belief systems in our relationship to religion, spirituality, new age beliefs, and other things that are actually designed to entrap and limit us, not to free us. Even if you are not at all “religious” or into other modalities, we all have been involved with them at some time in our lives, either in childhood with religion or at other times in our pursuit for truth and clarity about the reality we are in. Many of these engagements leave lingering attachments that have to be cleared and that is part of the work. Other things in our lives such as our relationship to money, debt, political beliefs, and more, also have left their mark on us that need to be faced and resolved. And finally, we have a large portfolio of projects throughout the world and we want to know that members are here to participate actively in what we are building. If that is not the intention of the prospective member, if one is mainly looking for education and knowledge development, this is what we are building the GEM member level to provide, so if we mutually determine that FPM level is not for you, then we welcome you to stay as part of the GEM level that will have some exciting things coming online in the months and years to come.

So, we want to be clear about this from the beginning and we’ll be discussing these points during our conversation.

Think about how best to deliver these points, either in your own voice and words, or perhaps directly. You might want to just read the above, or send it to them at the beginning and read it together with them, or send it ahead of time so they have it read when you start. You can discuss this with the team as to what is thought to be best. As to the mechanical feel of your style, when I watched your conversation with the two ladies and Mona, the questions come out of nowhere and don’t have any context for why you are asking the questions. For some that is ok but for some it is a bit abrupt, so the introduction will help ease into the conversation.

Don’t use your member number with you name and don’t require them to do it either, it isn’t necessary for the interview. Overall, what we are seeking is to know who the Being is and the path they have been on in their life and where they are going. Even asking them about their fears, concerns, issues in their life they are dealing with – that makes it personal and enables them to open up about themselves so that then the next question can be directed towards who they wish to be and become and that then ties in to the Inner Work context. With that understood then it will create an excitement to take the next steps, that this is not just about drafting workers and cogs in a machine, but participating in the community and the shared vision of what we are here to do. Ask them what they think about the Declaration of Intention and the Declaration of Peace and Sovereign Integrity (NOTE: We should perhaps have them sign the DOPSI along with the DOI, or maybe make a document that is a declaration of their own that they declare and affirm their alignment and commitment to the principles in those two documents instead of them printing, signing, scanning and sending both of them in – just one page they print and sign then send in).



Mona had not read any of the PTV material and had no idea what was going on in the interview. I could have easily done what Ken had wanted with this woman, if he had of told me beforehand. I also offered to work with this lady, as I really could have helped her release the trauma, if that was required but I didn't know that the outcome of the interview, was already decided beforehand but Ken won't even acknowledge his lack or responsible communication in this matter?

**FINAL NOTE ABOUT MONA:** I have talked to her on the phone twice and once in the group call with Andrea and Lauda. In my first call with her I told her what we are doing, who we are, and that this was in fact what she had been looking for in her life. What she has been looking for is a safe place to be herself and to trust other beings. She has had a lot of events of broken trust in her life. So I assured her that she can trust me and that PTV is a safe place for her. I could see that once your call with her started her distrust issues flared up. The points I wrote above were not there, so the abrupt approach and mechanical style I could see in her face and responses was off putting. Obviously she is a more sensitive case and most would not react, but just because she reacted doesn't mean she is incapable of the work. Then I could see that you polarized with her off of her reactions and then the rest of the conversation was more strained. But if you watch it and listen to what she said, she is not really fragmented in her responses, they were quite intelligent. Yes she is fragmented, maybe more than others, but everyone is fragmented, and she is just feeling into this situation like a cat that has to check out the whole room before entering.

I want her to feel safe and that she can trust us in her process. I have given her my word that she is in a safe place and we can be trusted, so I want that to be supported. If she ends up being problematic it will be dealt with and if it goes past a certain point, she will be suspended like everyone else who has done so. But that doesn't mean we reject her out of hand because that might happen. I do not see her with a malicious agenda like so many others we have had to deal with. And for us to say "Well we trusted some, or fast tracked some, and most ended up being a problem" doesn't mean we stop trusting or stop giving some a chance, because for many, this is the only place where such a chance can be found.

Even though my trust has been stomped on many, many times in the world of business and money, I have never allowed it to infect my inner being or principle of trust. I have just learned how to be more discerning and watchful. As a collective body we need to do the same, but never allow our inner being and trust to be infected by the external reality. When someone comes to us in a delicate state of fragmentation due to a lifetime of broken trust and not feeling safe, I want PTV to be a place where they can find trust and safety. We have grown enough to be able to work with someone if they are willing to do the work, so this first entry point of meeting us via the interview is an important start to create that receptivity and feeling of safety and trust. There is plenty of time for them to be more deeply worked with, but if we were to reject everyone who is fragmented, afraid, or not feeling safe, that would pretty much eliminate everybody.



There were only 4 pages in total, as the document had not been correctly indexed.

Mona also sent me a few emails after the interview, which were shared with Jolly and then passed onto Ken.



I've included my duplicate screenshot below from Mona to me, that I had shared with Jolly, so that I could include Jolly's comment on the matter.



It seems Jolly can see the similar issues that I saw with Mona but Ken's ability to see any issues with Mona eludes him. Why is this?



Hi **Mona**,

My intention was to get to know who you are authentically and I had no intention to trigger you. I read all of your information in detail but there was a lot of the questionnaire missing. I have a certain number of questions I am required to ask of each applicant.

Regards Justin

Hey ho Jason

Welcoming the triggers instead of bypassing them or "shooting at the messenger" so to speak ... is i feel a great way to catalyse one's own evolution into more and true embodied self governance...

That's why I said "thank you for triggering me"... it highlighted a long overdue decision point

As I said this was not at all about you personal...


Please feel free to send me any remaining questions

Or alternatively we conclude this in another zoom...

i actually feel you raised some valid points in regards to me essentially avoiding listening to what you actually asked or said🔥🙄🔥

Talking it myself too personal  
and I guess INSTEAD

Allowing myself to present what i actually wanted to share also in regards to my contributions and how I see myself best serving a potential alliance between panterra and playground earth/ my own project



This was my response to Ken...

### **The day the law met human nature**

I am writing to give you some feedback on my experience with the sequence of events that unfolded before and after I carried out my innerview with Mona Hofmann on Wednesday the 26<sup>th</sup> of April. I initially was asked by Jolly a few months ago to step in to the Sara situation because there was a lot of friction within NZ group. This initial communication arrived on a Friday night by Signal, something that Jolly only uses if she needs to contact me if she needs a quick or urgent response. I had taken a considerable amount of MDMA a few hours earlier and was still in a very altered state of consciousness but I still fully engaged with all of my consciousness to communicate effectively under the circumstances.

Over time, this eventually evolved into me reading all of the up to date current 44 membership applications. Some applicants only submit a 1-page essay, while others have submitted a 23-page essay, which can take up to 90 minutes to read, but on average it takes around 1 hour per application to process. This is so that I really get to tune into and understand where this person is genuinely coming from. My sincere desire is that I get as many applicants to join PTV as is possible but I endeavour to make sure that the people joining are absolutely the right people.

Up until now, I used the presumption that according to our entry criteria that had been used for every innerview to date, either by me and other previous members, who were carrying out innerviews, was that each

applicant had read our Declaration of Intention, which is a requirement and would also have studied a reasonable amount of content about PTV, including reading our mission statement beforehand. After understanding a certain level of our content and if they felt aligned with PTV, then that person had the opportunity to apply to become a member. To me, Mona had no idea what was going on, or where she was, and for such a sensitive person jumping into a completely unknown situation without a context was grossly irresponsible, totally unprofessional and not my responsibility. From my perspective this was a gross error in judgement and was seriously lacking in responsible communication.

As you are aware, with each applicant there are always 3 documents to submitted before an interview can be conducted. That is the NMS, the applicant's essay and the NMQ. It now seems that you had made some sort of agreement with Mona Hofmann, that she could become a member of PTV but this was never previously communicated to me at any time, so how would I ever know about such an extreme change in protocol or procedure.

Mona did not provide her New Member Survey and her New Member Questionnaire has a huge segment of the PTV entry level criteria questions completely missing. This was also outside the normal entry level criteria. I have attempted to develop a system where I can efficiently process applicants one by one with the intention to alleviate the slowly but ever-growing backlog.

I'm fully flexible and open to always improving and perfecting how we do things but you decided to change the entry level criteria in such a radical and extreme way without informing me as to these changes.

How was I to know that you had changed the entry level criteria and how was I to know that this woman knew virtually nothing about PTV and that she should still be admitted to PTV? Using the appropriate entry level criteria, it is important for PTV that I had asked Mona the very necessary questions. I feel that was highly inconsiderate of you making those decisions without informing me of the outcome beforehand, as these decisions seriously impacted how I dealt with matters further downstream.

Below is question 12 on Mona's NMQ and her answer which screams Red alert.

How would you describe honor and integrity – what do these words mean to you?

I decode a lot of my worlds on a very crude yet “cutting right through the bullshit” level...so I use he and she quite literally for the two core aspects in which this plan-et was locked down under so to speak.....and so within so without ....so he/ my reptilian... deeply repressed ...yet full On commander! IN UNTAPPED CAPACITY Was not havin it...the being in dishonour was unbearable for him....that it took me this entire lifetime to bypass what was used against me breaking through and letting go of the one lie that had ruled them all...that I was alone...

So making an EMOTIONAL connection with “her” was a break through of the highest order...I feel I can assist men and it is many more men than women that are taking their lives now...everywhere....

Share ...and primarily by virtue of the Living environments” what I know about truly healing from satanic wickedness and sex magic and their sodomy harvester keys used to install DEATH from within... with the million boys...never speaking.....now targeted openly by death spitting feminism and whatever social engineering nonsense is used to bring in the full-on genocide programs

The above statement relates to honour and integrity and screams that there is a huge amount of mental instability within this person. She has only been a member for a few days and I’m already getting feedback that she is wreaking havoc within PTV.

I start my PTV day at 6.15am always checking the chats to see if any issues or situations need my attention and I do that whenever circumstances allow throughout the entire day until I go to bed at around 11.15pm. In between times, if possible, I will be emailing applicants to arrange a date and time for innerview, which can take a lot of time and focus, given that I might be contacting someone for the first time and there can be 3 or 4 of us on a call and we can be in very different time zones. I live an insanely busy life with a lot of things to manage throughout the day but I have a lot of flexibility in the order and sequence of what I do and I’m want to add that I have happily done this in the past. I want to state, that I have in the past committed a very large part of my day to do whatever I can do in regards to helping PTV to the best of my ability at all times.

For you to stop my flow based on what I can only describe as fundamentally flawed information, caused a huge energetic shift in



direction with how I had structured my time and focus. This could easily have been avoided with responsible communication beforehand from you directly to me.

I was also informed after the innerview, that you would message me within an hour but I didn't get any message from you directly whatsoever, but I did notice that you had posted your message to me indirectly, within a group setting of pvtcotp.inner\_resolution, more than 3 days later after the event happened and I was also notified of your post by Jolly. I think that if there were any issues that needed to be resolved we would have communicated directly man to man and on a one-to-one basis and in private but for whatever reason that didn't happen. Now that this has been brought into a group setting, I feel we must deal with this situation within an open group setting.

You did mention this... *"The key point is that it is important that you provide more detail and context when you first introduce yourself so that the other being(s) can get comfortable with you as representative of the society and understand the process we are doing in our vetting of potential new members. They need to have a better idea of why you are doing the interview and how it relates to their process of becoming a member."*

This may be a useful add on, after the fact, if you want to lower the entry level criteria for members to get in who couldn't be bothered reading our Mission Statement or Declaration of Intention, but this woman had no idea where she was or what was going on. This is something that I cannot be held responsible for, as I had no part in how she got to where she got to within the system, especially as the well-developed checks and balances were completely removed without any discussion, communication or notice.

I have previously in the past openly mentioned many times, that I was open to feedback, but this feedback response refuses to address some fundamentally flawed issues that urgently need to be openly discussed. You also go on to say that I became Polarised with her, this sounds absolutely ridiculous to me and I really struggle to see what specific criteria you used to make that judgement? I also have a few questions that I'd like to discuss in an attempt to bring closure to this matter. This is what I wrote about Mona Hofmann in my summary...

*"Mona Hofmann was very triggered by me during the innerview. She really struggles to actually answer any question and consistently goes off on elaborate meaningless tangents. I think this woman is still*

*processing very deep levels of trauma and she finds it very hard to focus. I think her presence in PTV would be highly disruptive, especially at the crucial moment in time. She has so much projection going on and this is the first person ever that I've said that I don't think she should become a member. Her life is in chaos and I think she would need to do some deep inner work."*

I still think this is an accurate overview and you seem to have a very different perspective from me and you don't need to agree with me but I would appreciate the opportunity to discuss this matter with you at your earliest convenience. Your writing style on what you've written to me seems a bit strange and out of character but we can discuss that later if possible.

When I look at the suggested script you posted, this would have been totally unnecessary if the person had actually come through the appropriate channels and actually read our foundational documents. This looks like a reverse engineered script to mask an issue due to a fundamental flaw in communication, something that I'm not responsible for, which was previously and to date a necessary PTV requirement.

There's also the possibility that I will be accused of being polarised, going to my enemy construct, or being an agent of the matrix, projection or whatever off the shelf terms that can be used for whatever reason but I assure you that is most definitely not the case and I'm willing to prove it. I truly want what's best for you and PTV and I have the utmost respect for you. I'd like to talk and will absolutely respect any decision you make after we conclude our chat but we need to chat. Is it possible that someone can have sincere and valid questions and not be any of the above terminologies?

I would also urge anyone who can to watch the innerviews I did with both **Peaches** and **Jane**, along with **Mona Hofmann** on the 26<sup>th</sup> of April. These can be found in the Team Buddy folder, so that it will provide the relevant context and a deeper understanding, of this now much more public discussion.

There's also a much deeper matter of a serious concern, that I have uncovered within PTV, which I think we need to discuss. This is well beyond what has unfolded with the interviews but for now this matter can be put on hold.

It was also very noticeable that Ulrike was really surprised that Mona was actually allowed to become a member. It also raises an ethics

question as to why certain members get preferential treatment and other do not? Is this appropriate, is this necessary, is this fair, is this the way we want to do things and are members ok with the 2 sets of rules or 2 sets of laws for different members? Is this ok for us to openly discuss as members of PTV or is this discussion not permitted?

I also asked Wayne, who was Peaches and Jane's buddy about the innerview experience. This are the messages before and after the Mona issue.

**Justin\_1470** 26<sup>th</sup> April

Hi Wayne, Peaches and Jane are lovely people, so credit to you for bringing them along. They will make a wonderful addition to our society.

**Wayne\_1808** 26<sup>th</sup> April

Thanks Justin, I thought they were very good candidates, and I have known Peaches for about 15 years or more. You are a very effective interviewer and held good control over the timing and process. Thank you.

**Justin\_1470** 30<sup>th</sup> April

Hi Wayne, I'm just curious if you know how Peaches and Jane found the innerview process? What was their experience and could I have done anything to improve it?

**Wayne\_1808** 30<sup>th</sup> April

They both said they enjoyed the interview and thought you were wonderful, keeping the process light, though serious. They have both done many courses, seminars, and do regular online webinars.

**Justin\_1470** 30<sup>th</sup> April

Great, thanks for the feedback.

.....

Ken also sent me this following private message after I posted the above content, which was a response to his first message to me, in the ptvcotp.inner\_resolution group.



kenneth\_0132 17:35 - 1 May

Please send me the word version of your letter so I can respond in between your text point by point, thanks




justin\_1470 20:32 - 1 May

You posted something publicly in a group scenario that could shape the perception of how the group could perceive me without the relevant context. It's important to me that you address the issue publicly.



The only piece of information I really wanted from Ken was to have full transparency and accountability for the exact sequence of events that stimulated him to write his message to me. That means, who did he specifically talk to at that time. What influenced him and how was that specific decision reached with all contributory factors disclosed. What I got was an 18-page hit piece calling my character into question and I'm happy to discuss my character if desired but he still doesn't answer my questions. What he does do is attack my character, in an attempt to have me defending my character and take the focus of the questions, I have already asked. Ken needs to answer my questions truthfully and transparently and then we can discuss my character if he likes.



**Sometimes When  
Things Are Falling Apart  
They May Actually  
Be Falling Into Place.**



**This was Ken's response...**



**PANTERRAVIDA  
PRIVATE SOCIETY**

House of Peace – Society for Life  
Mutual Benefit Society  
Private Contract Association

*Office of Overseer  
Protector and Advocate for the People*

**Ken's Response to Justin's Response to My Initial Letter:**

Hi Justin, I am going to respond to your letter following up my letter to you here in this document, point by point, and with some general context for the overall process of the interviews and new member entry processes. Since my time is short today given the imminence of things starting tomorrow and you haven't sent the Word document yet per my request, I will copy items here and then answer in between in blue font.

**J: The day the law met human nature (heading)**

K: This seems to infer that I am not aware of human nature or that you are more embodied in human nature and I am more embodied in the law. If that is the point for your heading, I will start here by stating I am very familiar and experienced with human nature as it has been required to be so for many decades in the work that I have done. If I am misinterpreting the point you want to make, please let me know.

J: I had taken a considerable amount of MDMA a few hours earlier and was still in a very altered state of consciousness but I still fully engaged with all of my consciousness to communicate effectively under the circumstances.

Page 1 of 18

Office of Overseer  
Protector and Advocate for the People

2023-05-02



K: I would strongly suggest that in the future you do not disrupt your normal flow of things in your life on a presumption that there is an urgency that you do so unless it is explicitly stated that there is a real urgency or emergency. This is true especially if you had taken a large amount of MDMA, which of course I am very experienced with, and in my and our case, we always make sure we have a quiet and undisturbed space for the process. In this case it certainly wasn't necessary for you to disrupt that flow and jump into the Sara situation right away.

I appreciate your dedication and commitment but I am firmly set that we all need to have balance in our lives and that we should not allow situations with other members' "stuff" to drag us out of our normal flow of life. This is also true with the Mona situation. Of course, this is all a learning curve for all of us, so these sort of things are and will continue to evolve as we integrate our work in ourselves, with each other, with members as a whole, the society, and our work in the world. I am firmly not promoting unbalanced "dedication" to PTV at the expense of our personal balance and integrity.

J: This is so that I really get to tune into and understand where this person is genuinely coming from. My sincere desire is that I get as many applicants to join PTV as is possible but I endeavour to make sure that the people joining are absolutely the right people.

K: I fully appreciate this approach and the intent you state at the end of this quote. This is obviously what we all want and was not out of consideration for my relationship with Mona, where I tuned into her at all levels of consideration for her benefit (not mine) and made a decision accordingly. You may not agree with that decision, but at this point, you do not have nearly enough facts in the matter to make an informed decision. The fact that you were not given all such data before the interview has nothing to do with your references below to "grossly irresponsible communications," because I simply did not have the time to do so - all things considered (did you consider all things in your rush to judge my motives and actions, like the fact of what we are dealing with and what is about to happen this week?)

J: I used the presumption that according to our entry criteria that had been used for every interview to date, either by me and other previous members, who were carrying out interviews, was that each applicant had read our Declaration of Intention, which is a requirement and would also have studied a reasonable amount of content about PTV, including reading our mission statement beforehand.

K: This is a valid presumption in general. In the Mona case, the first conversation I had with her she conveyed to me that she had been seeking "the law" part for many years, having studied other work and modalities besides me/PTV and that she had been introduced by her friend Sam several months ago to me/PTV. She said she had written her first "Declaration of Sovereignty" ten years ago, so that indicated that she was reasonably versed in matters of the law, and that the last piece for her in her own search for truth was to study the law. She said she had watched and read a lot of the available public materials and that Sam had done so for a fair amount longer. So, there was more than a presumption on my part that she had a good grounding in who we are and our work and that she was directly stating she had been looking at our work for those months. Of course, there is not much in the public about the inner work as

we apply it or many other things that are not opened up to any of the NMs until they get started. We do not specifically ask people how much they have read and watched but most do relate that in their essays. In the case of Mona, she immediately expressed a strong passion for studying and I felt she had a significant intellect to do so, and regardless of the obvious fragmentation in her manner and speech (which I of course was well aware of) she was also reasonably coherent in my conversations with her.

**J:** After understanding a certain level of our content and if they felt aligned with PTV, then that person had the opportunity to apply to become a member. To me, Mona had no idea what was going on, or where she was, and for such a sensitive person jumping into a completely unknown situation without a context was grossly irresponsible, totally unprofessional and not my responsibility

**K:** I think there was no lead in to what the interview was about, which is why I wrote the intro that I did. Jolly just informed me what it is in the pre-interview package and it is generalized, so my write up is something that will now be sent in that package. I have never watched any of the interview videos at all, so this was new to me. My approach to the points I made were not about Mona specifically and were only intended to be a neutral critique on your style and approach for input and improvement. Had I only watched the P & J interview I would have had the same input, so it had nothing to do with her specific interview. Jolly has now informed me that Mona did receive the pre-interview package so she would be aware of the context if she had read it. At this point, we don't know if she did or not. But it was not an unknown as I did tell her a little bit about the process and what we are doing in the society and at large

I do not see it as grossly irresponsible or unprofessional, but certainly this highlights what was not considered in the situation with regard to someone who has a lot of past trauma issues. As I said in my first letter, it was my intent to provide her with a safe place to gain the benefits of what we have created. At this point, all things considered after evaluating all detail that includes your input, that of the home team, my discussions with her, and what I know the purpose of providing her with access to the membership and GU materials, I remain fine with her despite your misgivings. I have put others forward to fast track but in all those cases there was a specific reason why I decided that, mostly on a functional level of benefit to PTV for doing so). In this case I had no expectation of such a benefit, it was entirely for her. But there were other specific and important reasons why I did so, which are too much to write about here at this point.

Also, we had just done a call with her friend Lauda who is someone we have been following on YouTube for many years and really appreciate her work. I have wanted to link up with Lauda for quite a while and when Mona said they were good friends and could arrange that, and it happened very fast within a couple of days, I was going on the ease of that and the depth of the conversation with them that indicated there would be some significant benefit for our work. That was part of my motivation. Keep in mind that I am always on the edge in anticipation of being activated to start with MM and LT, almost always in a matter of just 1-3 days, so often there are things that I push faster than normal to get done with expectation that I will very soon disappear for up to a month or longer. That was definitely an influence in this situation



so it added to several factors piling up that has caused this problem. But, there are no problems, only opportunities, so I wish to see this situation in that context, that we have an opportunity to learn and refine our process with this.

Finally, in the context of the quote above, I in no way hold you responsible for this situation. There are two distinct tracks here, one is addressing the Mona situation and process (and how best to proceed with it) and two is the general point of giving and receiving input to improve our process, not just in your part, but overall. I have not thought at all about the "on shoring" process for a couple of years as Jolly and team have had a strong foundation with it and it didn't need my input. Since I watched the interviews for the first time that happened to be yours, I wanted to give some input and write what I wrote to better suit the needs.

**J: From my perspective this was a gross error in judgement and was seriously lacking in responsible communication**

K: I wouldn't say gross error in judgment necessarily, just a combination of factors that piled into one point that has to be addressed, cleared, and learned from with adjustment accordingly. There was definitely a lack of communication which is in large part due to the rapidity that things come up here and that I do not have the ability to continue to track. In this case, had I been in the loop more continually this would have been averted, but again, it is part of the learning curve. We will do better henceforward and I certainly apologize to you for this being something unsettling for you. That was never the intention either of the set up or my letter.

**J: As you are aware, with each applicant there are always 3 documents to be submitted before an interview can be conducted. That is the NMS, the applicant's essay and the NMQ. It now seems that you had made some sort of agreement with Mona Hofmann, that she could become a member of PTV but this was never previously communicated to me at any time, so how would I ever know about such an extreme change in protocol or procedure.**

K: She had told me last weekend that she was doing the essay and the NMQ but after that I didn't have any more input in the loop. So I wasn't aware that those were not done and I was never given her NMQ that you quoted from. What you quoted seems quite severe but there are many aspects of who she is and what she is doing that I now see are clearly not something you can perceive or understand. I was aware of her obvious disjointed method of speaking, it is obvious that she wanders from a point throughout a conversation. But I am able to track with her when I have spoken with her twice, and when she writes to me via text it is coherent. I have allowed her more communication with me than I would with most other members, but told her clearly that when I was activated I was not available at all and that she had to follow our protocols.

**J: Mona did not provide her New Member Survey and her New Member Questionnaire has a huge segment of the PTV entry level criteria questions completely missing. This was also outside the normal entry level**

criteria. I have attempted to develop a system where I can efficiently process applicants one by one with the intention to alleviate the slowly but ever growing backlog. I'm fully flexible and open to always improving and perfecting how we do things but you decided to change the entry level criteria in such a radical and extreme way without informing me as to these changes.

How was I to know that you had changed the entry level criteria and how was I to know that this woman knew virtually nothing about PTV and that she should still be admitted to PTV? Using the appropriate entry level criteria, it is important for PTV that I had asked Mona the very necessary questions. I feel that was highly inconsiderate of you making those decisions without informing me of the outcome beforehand.

K: It is interesting that you state "this woman knew virtually nothing about PTV" because I just started listening to the last part of the interview which I had stopped at around 24 minute mark. You asked her about her short term goals and she started to describe what she was doing with the cave that was offered to her to take over and right in the middle of it you abruptly stopped her and asked "What are your long term goals?" And do you remember what she said? She said "To implement my mission that I see is very aligned with the PanTerra mission that I have gotten from the documents that I have read." Hmmm, documents that she had read. So, apparently, she has read documents and knows what our mission and purpose is, and in the previous part of the interview I didn't see anything that indicated otherwise. Did you ask her "What have you studied and read about PTV so far?" No, you didn't.

And as I watched the rest of the interview, from about 26 minutes to 31 minutes when the subject of her being triggered by you, she did in fact tell you but you didn't hear or understand. She was saying that she sees and knows things through direct experience and ability to see things and she felt you were not in any way honoring that of or about her. And just as a further note, when you said she went off on a tangent and described things you said "I don't understand at all what you are saying," for your awareness I knew exactly what she was saying and it was neither irrelevant nor unintelligible. It was so to you but that just is for the fact that you don't have experience with it. Andrea and I do have a lot of experience with it, not as she does, but by decades of interface and study of it. What she was talking about is actually quite in alignment with much that I speak about all the time, hence my ability to be clear with her and your inability to do so (which is not in any way a derogation of you, simply a statement of fact).

J. Below is question 12 on Mona's NMQ and her answer which screams Red alert.

QUESTION: How would you describe honor and integrity - what do these words mean to you?

ANSWER: I decode a lot of my worlds on a very crude yet "cutting right through the bullshit" level...so I use he and she quite literally for the two core aspects in which this plan-et was locked down under so to speak...and so within so without ...so he/ my reptilian... deeply repressed ...yet full On commander! IN UNTAPPED CAPACITY Was not having it...the being in dishonour was unbearable for him...that it took me this entire lifetime to bypass what was used against me breaking through and letting go of the one lie



that had ruled them all...that I was alone... So making an EMOTIONAL connection with "her" was a break through of the highest order...I feel I can assist men and it is many more men than women that are taking their lives now...everywhere... Share ...and primarily by virtue of the Living environments" what I know about truly healing from satanic wickedness and sex magic and their sodomy harvester keys used to install DEATH from within... with the million boys...never speaking.....now targeted openly by death spitting feminism and whatever

**J: The above statement relates to honour and integrity and screams that there is a huge amount of mental instability within this person. She has only been a member for a few days and I'm already getting feedback that she is wreaking havoc within PTV.**

K: Yes this obviously is off the point about Honor and Integrity, I would have to ask her why she wrote this in this particular location. But it is intelligible if you understand what she is trying to say, so I will translate for you. She is describing a split personality created by severe ritual abuse and trauma, which she has not spoken to me about in depth yet, but has inferred to it in many ways. She is still building trust with me to be able to speak about it directly. Whether you can see or understand this, she is actually talking about things that I have been presenting in my videos for the last couple of years and it gets down to some really deep core issues that are surfacing on the planet now with many thousands and perhaps (as she says) millions of victims who are still in physical form (bodies) and must have an avenue to heal. It may not be pretty, it may not be nice, but it is a reality in this world that has to be faced. If not PTV, who will face it?

She has a particular and specific history that has carved a path that she is just coming into in order to be able to further heal herself and thus be able to assist others in doing the same. What she is writing here is stating that there are two opposing forces within her, one she calls a "he", a male energy of a reptilian nature, that through the ritual trauma abuse is caused to split off from the actual Living Being and become a dominator (and obviously subject to possession from such non-corporeal beings) and the "her" which is the suppressed natural and authentic self (herself) that has been struggling with this her whole life. She is now coming into a more advanced level of healing and integration and through this will emerge with a capacity to assist and support many others, mostly males, who are trapped in the same trauma induced constraints as she witnessed and experienced. As to why she chose to write this in the context of the question about Honor and Integrity remains to be determined, but obvious for her it has a connection.

But as to her wreaking havoc within PTV can you please provide details about this as we have not gotten any feedback from anyone about this other than Ulrike who expressed her concerns about her, but certainly did not state that Mona was wreaking havoc in PTV. Where and with whom is she doing so? As to changing the entry level criteria, nothing of the kind has been done. We have our standard protocols and procedures and occasionally we veer from them. Do you have such an inflexible position here that an exception to a rule is not something you can deal with? The fact is that we have so veered perhaps a

dozen times, maybe less, in the last two years. Some have worked out, some have not. It's not a big deal. We also adjust and bend in many ways and many instances because we evaluate situations in real time, not in some artificial rigid construct that cannot be adjusted according to present time awareness and particulars.

J: I start my PTV day at 6.15am always checking the chats to see if any issues or situations need my attention and I do that whenever circumstances allow throughout the entire day until I go to bed at around 11.15pm.

K: Thank you for your diligence and commitment, it is very appreciated. My day is similar. I have developed a balanced integration of how my days go as it is a general point that the minute I am awake and operative I am engaged with KB and other communications and continue until I go to sleep. In between I am building PTV, designing the entire new world system that we intend to build with a monetary system that has never been existent in this world during known history, communicating and collaborating with the home team and through them many other teams and strategic alliance partners in many parts of the world weekly, having one on one dialog with key players that are part of our building (most of whom are not visible to the members as these are placed throughout the world and some are members, most are not), answering many questions, posts, member issues, ES and SC details, writing and editing documents, strategizing for our first six months post activation to build banking, monetary system, GEMA, new web sites redesigning the entire GEM content and preparing to do the same for the ES and SC course, planning our move out of temp quarters to get stabilized to be able to expand all of this (having lived on the edge in uncertain circumstances for well on the last ten years during which all that you see has been created) with our teams and alliance partners, and usually six days a week scrambling for hundreds of thousands of dollars, sometime under severe time deadlines – and that's usually before lunch (sometimes before breakfast that is sometimes not until after noon due to the demands of the morning)

But I balance all within me and outside of me and generally speaking have a pretty good equilibrium day to day and manage to get time to relax and clear so that I can do it again the next day and also to be prepared for what is about to start which will be 24.7.365 around the clock for probably the next year without a day off or a vacation or the luxury of a retreat in the woods. So, I do understand what a full schedule is like. For me, however, it is not a burden, it is a joy to do what I do because it is synchronized with my ever-growing being presence in my life and consistent with that presence in doing what I am designed to do (self-designed).

J: In between times, if possible, I will be emailing applicants to arrange a date and time for innerview, which can take a lot of time and focus, given that I might be contacting someone for the first time and there can be 3 or 4 of us on a call and we can be in very different time zones. I live an insanely busy life with a lot of things to manage throughout the day but I have a lot of flexibility in the order and sequence of what I do and I'm want to add that I have happily done this in the past. I want to state, that I have in

Now I didn't really want to comment in between these pages but Ken has made such an idiotic statement that I had to mention it. As you can see in the above bottom blue paragraph, he implies that he will be busy "24.7.365 around the clock for probably the next year without a day off". Now 24.7.365 is a full year, without an hour or day off, so saying around the clock is absolutely absurd, just as much as saying "probably the next year without a day off". This also implies that he will never



sleep at any time for one year. I think Ken is talking an absolute load of bollocks here.

the past committed a very large part of my day to do whatever I can to in regards to helping PTV to the best of my ability at all times.

K: That is known and understood, and appreciated. But I do not see the correlation between all of that and your statement below, as if you are not appreciated or being force marched in all of this based on one incident that is easily rectified and balanced with proper communications. I am not the one that communicates with you or the applicants and in this case the knowns were clear on this end with Jolly and the home team and the unknowns were not known until this process unfolded, so there is no need to take a stance that this was thrust upon you without context for your needs or otherwise.

The fact that I was aware that you had spent 11 hours working with Sara, which was not actually requested of you to do, indicated to me that you had time to do this work and that it was not a burden on your "insanely busy schedule". That includes doing sessions with other members as well, which we were not aware of being done until the last 24 hours. We are in a continual process of experimentation and adjustment so all that is needed is communication if something has to be adjusted. Your conclusion that I was very unprofessional and lacked responsible communication is not at all on the mark, as you do not know the context of what we do on this end around the clock that I have endeavored to give you a glimpse of here.

It seems that you have been angered by my critique of your style in the interviews, which really is off base. We all are here to critique and give input to each other. I get plenty of that from the home team and I have no problem with it. This is what is required in our process of learning and growing, we make it up as we go along means that we work together for this purpose to better our team work and approaches.

J: For you to stop my flow based on what I can only describe as fundamentally flawed information, caused a huge energetic shift in direction with how I had structured my time and focus. This could easily have been avoided with responsible communication beforehand from you directly to me.

K: Why did this disrupt your energetic base or shift your direction or stop your flow, I truly don't understand how that is? And speak of blame, how is it that you are a being in such self-command, as you just wrote to Jolly earlier today, of your unconscious mind, but here you are blaming me for stopping your flow and me causing you a "huge energetic shift." How do I have such power over you in your reality? I simply wrote a relatively short and clear critique on your style, which as stated above would have been done with the P & J recording alone. It is just a critique for you to work to improve the interviews, nothing more. For you to say that I have "made some strong remarks about your character" is patently absurd. I did nothing of the kind. If you disagree, please quote specific words that did such a thing. I said you seemed to go into polarity with Mona and that you needed some points of input with your interview style, that's it. Is saying that you went into polarity with her, even if not true or you don't agree, a strong remark on your character? I think not.

Yes, there were some gaps and flaws in the communication flow, but that was neither setting you up or anything more than the imperfect process that we deal with every day due to extreme lack of proper resources of personnel, teams properly functioning, financial resources, and all the other demands and pressures on me and us as briefly noted above. I fail to see how doing a 38-minute interview that didn't go well should have made an impact on how you structured your time and focus. I have many things that shift my attention and flow daily but this doesn't elicit the kind of reaction you are having here. I go with the flow and I dare say I have a lot more burden of responsibility in all of this, overall, than anyone else, you included, and yet I go with the flow and all the bumps and curve balls every day I have to deal with without blaming someone for causing a huge disruption in my energetic flow and direction. Why is it you have to do that here with me in this instance?

J: I was also informed after the interview, that you would message me within an hour but I didn't get any message from you directly whatsoever, but I did notice that you had posted your message to me indirectly, within a group setting of pvtcotp.inner\_resolution, more than 3 days later after the event happened and I was also notified of your post by Jolly. I think that if there were any issues that needed to be resolved we would have communicated directly man to man and on a one-to-one basis and in private but for whatever reason that didn't happen. Now that this has been brought into a group setting, I feel we must deal with this situation within an open group setting.

K: As things go day by day in my continuum, that happens regularly. I receive something or have something land on my plate and I want to respond right away. I have an instant whole picture view of what the situation is when it is presented and know what I will respond and say, but between that moment and when the totality of impinging factors come into play, often I am not able to perform as I first expect or state. That is a skill I have which is that I can see and know things instantly but then the linear timing of getting it done is another matter altogether. But I do get to the important items and most of the medium or low importance items, all things considered.

I wrote what I wrote because after considering it further, I knew it was best to write it out instead of having a verbal conversation. That is often the case and since I can write things quite comprehensively and quickly, I choose that mode in many cases. I truly did not expect this current reaction, I thought you would take it in and work with it, not react and feel as if you are being attacked. Correct me if I am wrong, but it certainly appears as if you think I am attacking you. Nothing could be further from the truth.

As to posting it in this group, this group (as is the case with many others) is for group communications, learning together, working things out, figuring things out, evaluating situations, and critiquing things for improvement. Perhaps you are not used to this mode, but it is what we have done for years. Although I am the "ostensible leader" of PTV we have all worked very hard to neutralize the function of hierarchy and how to build team development and working environments. Again, not expecting a reaction, I posted what I did in the group to stimulate conversation about the interviews process and how to work with and refine it.



We came up with the idea after the Sara process that we needed to build the Inner Resolution concept and team, hence the name, and since this came up, I used it as an opportunity to start the process. Since we see you as an integral part of building these functions and concepts and keeping with "We make it up as we go along" and also, dealing with the conservation of time and energy, I placed it here to achieve that instead of a one on one. Now, as to "Man to Man" why does that even come into play? We are all Living Beings and if my communication had not been received by you as an affront, we would have proceeded in an open and working environment here. Why is it "Man to Man?" Why does it have to be so private?

J: You did mention this... "The key point is that it is important that you provide more detail and context when you first introduce yourself so that the other being(s) can get comfortable with you as representative of the society and understand the process we are doing in our vetting of potential new members. They need to have a better idea of why you are doing the interview and how it relates to their process of becoming a member."

This may be a useful add on, after the fact, if you want to lower the entry level criteria for members to get in who couldn't be bothered reading our Mission Statement or Declaration of Intention, but this woman had no idea where she was or what was going on.

K: I do not see that this is lowering the criteria, please explain your perspective on how you see that. How is creating an environment in an interview that is welcoming and with more explanation and feeling of embracing a new member a means to lower criteria? Because that is all my comments were direct towards.

J: This is something that I cannot be held responsible for, as I had no part in how she got to where she got to within the system, especially as the well-developed checks and balances were completely removed without any discussion, communication or notice.

K: Why do you have the need to repeat twice that you are not responsible? Nobody said you were responsible. If you find somewhere that I did so, please point it out.

J: I have previously in the past openly mentioned many times, that I was open to feedback, but this feedback response refuses to address some fundamentally flawed issues that urgently need to be openly discussed.

K: The flaws can and will, and are being addressed. But the feedback had nothing to do with any of that. My letter was just direct input and feedback, something apparently you are having a very difficult time seeing or experiencing as such.

J: You also go on to say that I became Polarised with her, this sounds absolutely ridiculous to me and I really struggle to see what specific criteria you used to make that judgement?

K: I, too, read people. The criteria I based it on was the look on your face within a few minutes after it started, and the way the conversation proceeded. But, again, this is not a "strong remark on your character," it is simply an observation, and one that has nothing to do with the flaws in the procedure

that you have pointed out (and I have no problem with any of it). Quite frankly, it would appear to me that you have made strong remarks on my character 1) Totally unprofessional (not just unprofessional, but totally unprofessional) 2) Grossly irresponsible (not just irresponsible, but grossly irresponsible) and 3) Gross error in judgment (not just an error in judgement but a gross one). Are these not some strong aspersions on my character? Compared to a simple critique on two points?

J: I also have a few questions that I'd like to discuss in an attempt to bring closure to this matter. This is what I wrote about Mona Hofmann in my summary... "Mona Hofmann was very triggered by me during the interview. She really struggles to actually answer any question and consistently goes off on elaborate meaningless tangents. I think this woman is still processing very deep levels of trauma and she finds it very hard to focus. I think her presence in PTV would be highly disruptive, especially at the crucial moment in time. She has so much projection going on and this is the first person ever that I've said that I don't think she should become a member. Her life is in chaos and I think she would need to do some deep inner work."

K: Actually I don't agree with your assessment of Mona. Her life is not in chaos because I have actually talked to her about what she is doing, how she is doing it, what her plans are, and where she is directing herself. Have you discussed any of that with her to come up with such a conclusion? No, you haven't. I have. Her life is much more self-directed than you give her credit for or perceive.

It seems you think I am jumping all over you for having explained to you in my first letter why I was proceeding with her, and more so here. Just because I addressed style issues with you has nothing to do with your conclusions from your interaction with her. I just see more context and detail than you and draw a different conclusion.

Ulrike has added her input and has pointed out that she has already gotten feedback that indicates that Mona is going to have difficulty adjusting to our requirements. Here is what she wrote:

**She told me following:**

**She wanted me to send her the videos she needs to listen to.**

**She had thought that she would get away from the notebook (computer). When she sees the requirements, she has to spend a lot of time in front of the notebook and concentrate. It would not be something she can do on the side. It was not what she had envisioned.**

**And this one I copied in, because I didn't quite understand it, and I asked her what she meant, but there was no answer coming back: "... instead of broadcasting any panterra related insights to whomever. I will be in touch if I have some more question."**

K: I spoke with Mona today (Tuesday, the day after I wrote most of this letter as indicated by the time references herein) and have clarified expectations and what needs to be understood with membership in PTV. She is already adjusting to the issues that Ulrike describes and she is going to proceed as a member, all things considered, your concerns notwithstanding, and we will observe and monitor her



closely. But she is going to be given a chance for the reasons I have stated in this response. That is what we are here to do, is open doors for people to walk through if they self-direct to do so.

This is for her to walk the steps and not us to prejudge her on it. She comes from a very specific lineage that is very relevant to our work in transmuting genetic and ancestral karma and that has a lot to do with why I am interested for her to have access to our work, specifically my work. Because the fact of the matter is, as referenced above about the things in what she said that you didn't understand and I very much do, is the fact that she is most likely one of the few people in all of our members that would understand some of the very advanced context and detail that I have presented that I know most do not understand. She has the ability to understand it more than most. She may have the other issues, but this is a fact that I am very clear on.

J: I still think this is an accurate overview and you seem to have a very different perspective from me and you don't need to agree with me but I would appreciate the opportunity to discuss this matter with you at your earliest convenience. Your writing style on what you've written to me seems a bit strange and out of character but we can discuss that later if possible.

K: Yes, it is accurate and I never said otherwise, although within the context of what I just wrote above, but my attempt to assist someone who is obviously not our usual member, but still has the passion to do something for herself has nothing to do with the conclusions you have drawn, remains in place. We can certainly discuss it, but that will have to wait due to immediate and imminent events.

As to my writing style being strange, we can discuss it but I don't see what I wrote as strange at all. My style stays quite consistent across many genres of content, this no exception. As to my earliest convenience, I will remind you that this week I start the biggest event of my life and this entire world's process, so when that convenience shall be remains an unknown. And given this impending start, I do have to wonder why the intense vehemence in your writing style here in such a manner that seems highly triggered, defensive, and showing a lot of distrust and disdain for me.

J: When I look at the suggested script you posted, this would have been totally unnecessary if the person had actually come through the appropriate channels and actually read our foundational documents. This looks like a reverse engineered script to mask an issue due to a fundamental flaw in communication, something that I'm not responsible for, which was previously and to date a necessary PTV requirement. There's also the possibility that I will be accused of being polarised, going to my enemy construct, or being an agent of the matrix, projection or whatever off the shelf terms that can be used for whatever reason but I assure you that is most definitely not the case and I willing to prove it.

K: I have to say, Justin, to be honest, your letter here continues to get more bizarre as we go along. Talk about strong remarks on my character, this whole phrasing is nothing more than a sarcastic twisting of the things Andrea and I speak of all the time and thrusting it at me as if I am accusing you of all these things. None of them even remotely crossed my mind when I wrote what I wrote, but they sure appear that they crossed your mind. Why? I never accused you of being polarized, I simply stated that that is

Ken also says in the above paragraph "*Justin, to be honest*" which linguistically presupposes that all the other communication he has written was not honest. Is this something he has unconsciously exposed within himself?

how I saw the conversation as it went along. This has nothing to do with your character and I really wonder where the rest comes from – Reverse Engineered Script, Masking Issues, Going to Enemy Construct, Being an Agent of the Matrix, Projection, Off The Shelf Terms. And you think I have made strong remarks about your character, and this is not a vituperative and hissy counter point aimed at trying to get me to react? And again, a third time for you to state you are not responsible. Nobody said you were, but methinks there is a touch of paranoia here on your part. And if you think that is a strong remark about your character, that is your problem, deal with it.

J: I truly want what's best for you and PTV and I have the utmost respect for you. I'd like to talk and will absolutely respect any decision you make after we conclude our chat but we need to chat. Is it possible that someone can have sincere and valid questions and not be any of the above terminologies?

K: I am sure that is the case, but now that I have gone this far point by point, I really have to ask "Then why all the hard edge and barbs from a simple little critique of an event and a process?" As to the terminologies, I will again point out that I said none of them, they are your words, not mine. So, I'll ask you the question: "Is it possible for you to take in valid input and not have to resort to such terminologies in such a sarcastic and demeaning manner?" And I will also point out that you haven't asked any questions, just went on the offensive towards me. You can certainly query Andrea, Jolly, Brad and many others to find out that I am always available for questions, comments, critiques, and whatever else. Are you?

J: I would also urge anyone who can to watch the innerviews I did with both Peaches and Jane, along with Mona Hofmann on the 26th of April. These can be found in the Team Buddy folder, so that it will provide the relevant context and a deeper understanding, of this now much more public discussion.

K: It is not public at all, much or otherwise. It is a private dialog in a small group of advanced members who are integrally part of building many things in our society, in a group just formed and dedicated to evaluating many things in terms of inner work processes, resolution, and now to become a department of our Court of the People added to Law and Equity. A not too little task, one that requires openness, intimacy, directness, and willingness to both speak and listen, write and read.

Are you up to that Justin, because if you are not, it's okay to say this is not for you? The fact is, I have never, ever, not once, ever thought one negative thing about you to this moment. I have from the minute you arrived in Ontario 3.5 years ago always enjoyed your presence in our society. We have not interacted at all since that week you came to stay, but that doesn't matter to me – I feel and know your presence, just as I do with all members who are present and engaged. But this little interlude has thrown me for a loop, for me it is coming out of left field with no context that appears to be in the present time.

J: There's also a much deeper matter of a serious concern, that I have uncovered within PTV, which I think we need to discuss. This is well beyond what has unfolded with the interviews but for now this matter can be put on hold. It was also very noticeable that Ulrike was really surprised that Mona was actually allowed to become a member. It also raises an ethics question as to why certain members get preferential



treatment and other do not? Is this appropriate, is this necessary, is this fair, is this the way we want to do things and are members ok with the 2 sets of rules or 2 sets of laws for different members? Is this ok for us to openly discuss as members of PTV or is this discussion not permitted?

K: Again, to me this is quite insulting. Why would you even ask if it is permitted? Do you have any clue how we have laid the foundations for this society over thirty years? Do you think we are hypocrites that only speak of Freedom Absolute, but only want to control and compartmentalize and suppress? What an absurd thing to write! We do not have two laws, two sets of rules, nor do we play favorites, and we are also not so rigidly fixated that we cannot amend or adjust things for specific instances when there is a valid reason to do so. It is too long to write all the nuances of what I was thinking with Mona here, so we will leave it for another time and direct discussion.

And here you want to dangle something about a "much deeper matter of serious concern" without describing it, other than to say it is an ethical question, and then let it hang on the eve of when I am about to start the most important event of our entire mission and purpose of this society and for the whole world, knowing as surely you must that I have said I will not be available for a month perhaps longer, while doing an extremely key and important task for everything we have worked on for eons of time and thousands of lifetimes and the entirety of this society. Really Justin?

And to be clear, it took me all day, in and out of other things, to write this letter. I am finishing it while Brad drives us home from LA at 8:30 PM on the eve of getting that LT phone call in the morning (and then later from 10-11:30 to edit it all). So the chances of us having that conversation tomorrow morning are really zip to none. I am not going to disrupt the most important day of my life and the life of this society to engage that conversation that could easily last 2-4 hours and linger with me while needing to focus on the priorities of the day. So, it will have to wait for another day, date unknown.

And finally, your separate post later after I received your letter:

J: Hi Ken, you made some strong comments within this group about my character that I do not believe are accurate, especially the one about me being polarised. These comments were made by you without any communication with me and I do not believe they accurately reflected upon the situation. If there was an issue regarding anything I had done then I would have preferred to have found out about that directly from you and not within a group setting after other parties had known and read your post. My system worked exactly as it was designed to do and I'm not taking a hit for someone having a serious lack in responsible communication.

K: You see, there was no issue, not before this letter. I really thought you would receive my input as it was intended, a simple critique for improvement on our process. Nothing more. Now it is blasted out of the stratosphere as far as I can tell. Again, not a comment on your character, only a comment on my perception of how the conversation went. Yes, they were made without communication to you because my first letter WAS MY COMMUNICATION TO YOU! Why is that such a hard concept to comprehend? The

fact that you took a "hit for someone having a lack in responsible communication" just seems all too pat to be about me, Justin.

So, who are you really talking to here? I think not me. Again, another stab at my character, one that "hits [you] due to my serious lack of responsible communication." Methinks there is an explosive issue here under the surface that I have poked inadvertently and so here we are with it. Methinks the fact that Mona had and has a deeply serious issue about feeling safe and being able to trust may have actually triggered this in you, because that is exactly what this feels like to me: that you do not trust me, that you do not feel safe with me, that somehow I am attacking you when nothing of the sort occurred, and that rather than me throwing hits at your, sending negative aspersions on your character, that is exactly what you have done here, not just once or twice, but perhaps about a dozen times if we were to count them off (which I am not going to bother to do).

As you wrote to Jolly that you believe you are fully clear to the depth and breadth of your unconscious mind, truly Justin, I think you are full of shit in that regard and haven't a clue about your unconscious mind. Call me silly or irresponsible or throwing aspersions on your character with this, but I speak the truth when I see it, and this is what I see. Do with it as you will.

And one final note, a comment on your delivery and content. Please do not say things like "I don't pay my writs and fines and licenses and parking tickets just because I don't want to or have to; maybe I should take care of them legally or lawfully but I haven't been able to figure it out so far." Are you really going to say to our new members that you haven't been able to figure it out lawfully, when that is everything we do here? What kind of impression does that make with the prospective new members? "Wait a minute, here is the PTV interviewer to interview me and he tells me that he hasn't been able to figure out how to deal with fines and tickets lawfully? What is wrong with this picture?" You have got to be kidding me. Do you not see how reckless such a statement is with two new members (with P & J).

How is it you have been here four years and you haven't been able to figure it out, when that is everything about what we are doing? That statement is exactly the opposite of everything we teach here about how to deal with the public, the charged documents, offers to contract. We teach going to peace and what you said about this to our prospective members is truly about going to war. When you ignore these issues and presume they won't find you, you have abandoned the equity and your estate instead of going to peace by resolving the matters. With those items outstanding, you are in fact engaging with the system at their level, at war. Silence is acquiescence so you may think you have gotten away with something, but in fact, your silence has allowed them to perfect the liens and bondage on your being, not just your legal franchise. You have a long way to go to learn what you are supposed to be here learning.

Apparently, you have not studied our materials or listened to the hundreds of hours of my work and then say such things with pride and aplomb. It is entirely out of line in such a setting of introducing new members to the society to have said what you did. You can take this as an attack on your character if you wish, but when I heard this part of your interview with P&J I was aghast, truly aghast that you would say

Just to be clear here, I have never once mentioned anything about not paying writs, this was just something manufactured by Ken. I also do not pay a TV licence and I haven't done for 15 years. These people cannot identify me because the letters they send are always addressed to the occupier. I also don't have my car registered in my correct name, so the system can't find me. It's also interesting that on Ken's first feedback he



never mentioned any of this but now he's so aghast by it. The reality is that the PTV system is so poorly indexed that it's virtually impossible to find anything and if you say anything, as I have in the past, you're just belittled for mentioning it.

that given everything we say, do, teach, and instruct. So here you are so concerned about Mona whether she had read the DOI or other materials, and you seem to demonstrate that you have equally not read or studied, or at the least integrated the work here into your being. Why would you even go there in the interview that is not supposed to have the interviewer speak of themselves? It is truly beyond me.

And if you think that I have now smeared your character by ending with this response, at this point, after going through all of this point by point (at least 3-4 hours worth on a day when I had other things to accomplish, the day before going away for a month), I really don't give a shit. I find that you have blown this entire thing up to a massive mountain out of a minute molehill and if this has offended you, deal with it. I will talk to you in the next 1-2 months, if you can wait that long. I am done with this issue and dialog for now. Please do not write back after receiving this because the last thing we want or need is another volley being thrown in our midst during what, as stated above, is a very important day for us and the world.

If you think by now that I went from being reasonable at the beginning of this letter to being really pissed off, you are perceptive and absolutely correct.

Sincerely, Ken

Post Script Note: So the above content was finished on Monday night around 11:30 PM after being worked with on and off over the course of the day. Since things changed this morning I had more time to review and edit it, but there are probably a few constructions referencing time that might be a little off due to being written yesterday but not finished until today (I thought it would be done and sent this morning). Be that as it may, I don't want to take more time to go through it all again to find any inconsistencies, it will do as is. Then earlier Jolly provided me with the message you wrote to here which has some additional points and issues that compel me to respond to. That text is below with my responses.

J: I've always had respect for Ken and his knowledge and understanding of the law is exceptional. I think the timing is perfect and whatever happened needed to happen and I'm glad it did. I've had a lot of deep realisations and I am working with those realisations now and I'm absolutely certain that it will be a transformative experience for all involved.

K: I certainly hope so as that has always been and always will be our foundational intention and motivation in all of our interactions with members and the society as a whole. The real question remains as to how you choose to receive my input and if you are willing and/or able to get off your position that you have maintained and don't seem willing to let go, as is demonstrated once again in the points in this message below.

J: I have no problem with someone offering feedback and I'm always open to it, but the way it was delivered something I'm not happy with.

K: Which is a bizarre position for me in all of this. Nobody sees how it was delivered as anything other than what it was intended to be, already abundantly described hereinabove, other than you. And clearly you still see it that way, some sort of nefarious hidden intention behind the way it was delivered. All I can say is that is yours to deal with because I am not going to get caught up in a loop that has no exit.

J: This seems to have been some knee jerk reaction and I'm interested in the specific order and sequence of events that triggered the feedback.

K: There is no specific order or sequence of events that triggered anything. That is in your mind and your mind alone. It certainly was nothing at all akin to a knee jerk reaction. It was a simply critique, which now with a couple more days, has been concurred with by others.

J: What was said in the feedback from Ken and what was said in the feedback, from the other people involved in the 2 innerviews were in direct contradiction with what Ken said yet this has never been addressed.

K: Just because others (whomever they may be as that could only be Peaches, Jane, and Ulrike) is their perspective. I am not here to compete with who has the right or wrong perspective. I have communicated what I saw, you have now exploded it into an international incident and you can deal with it as you wish. I will not engage with it anymore. Even though I did not start things today, I will be doing so either tomorrow or Thursday, so the same things as said above applies, we can talk at some point directly but that will not be this week and will wait until I am on the other side of imminent events, duties, and responsibilities that are far more important than arguing about this non-issue.

J: Also does Ken still think that allowing Mona to become a member given the serious amount of red flags raised was the right choice?

K: Absolutely, now that this has opened and uncovered more context and detail. If you are interested, perhaps someday you will have enough receptivity to find out what and why that is. For now, again, I am not interested in taking the time to attempt to open that up for you.

J: There's also this ridiculous idea that Ken and Andrea are the only source of inner work, or that they are the only ones operating at a higher level of consciousness.

K: Certainly, that is the most ridiculous thing that could be said about the matter. But, ironically, I have never said that. Andrea has never said that. You are apparently the one that has thought and now written that, and that is a curious thing. In fact, it is the main reason I wanted to include this message to Jolly and respond to it here.

Where did you get such a notion? Show me where either of us has written or said this and then we can respond to it as if it came from me or Andrea. But I doubt you can find that in actual fact, because as far as I am concerned, we would never and have never said it. Why do you think we are constantly bringing new people to our chats to bring to awareness for the members? Is it because we believe we are "the only source of inner work" or because we are constantly opening ourselves to new sources and work and perspectives? We have never said we are the only ones operating at a higher level of consciousness.



Again, another thing you have generated within yourself that has no relevance, bearing, or reality in our world.

J: I am the master of my own world and I am my own highest authority, yet I see certain videos and discussions about inner work at times, from totally incongruent people whose perception of things are not really allowed to be challenged or disputed. It's as if no one else could ever have evolved or figured out anything on their own.

K: As to your own world and mastery, have you ever noticed that one of the most consistent things I put in most of my writing that references individual choice is that everyone is their own universe and highest authority, that each chooses their personal beliefs and private conscience and is self-responsible thereto. I certainly challenge those beliefs because I have the ability to know a lot of things that are embedded with hooks and attachments. So does Andrea. Sometimes people think we are being harsh, stern, or autocratic. Some of that is accurate to say, but if we did not do what we do, our entire society would be overrun with so much manipulation, distraction, and undermining forces and influences that we would be sunk a long time ago.

The things that we remove are those that we see as having deeper hidden hooks and/or agendas that we have developed our own acuity and capacity to see from 50+ years of experience. What is more interesting in this closing comment of yours is why did it take all of this current process to have this come out at this time? Why did you never address this directly with us for three years and more since you were here? We certainly would have been open to your input and perspectives had it been presented, but apparently it has been withheld and only comes out now when you feel you have been attacked and you are using it as a part of your counter attack? It is what I have long called a burnt offering. It has been withheld by you and no doubt has been something you felt was not right but yet you never shared that with us, you never communicated any of it to us. Why is that?

Whoever said that we cannot be challenged? Not us. Interestingly, you tie in the fact that you state you are your own master with the fact that some things you consider incongruent can't be challenged. How are these connected? As to your last sentence, it's as if.... Given that you could have shared any and all things you have done in your personal and inner work all this time, you could have stepped up and said you wanted to present your insights and knowledge and wisdom, why didn't you do so? If you are your own master and higher authority, what or who prevented you from being proactive and presenting your authority, even if what you say is true that nobody could challenge anything (which it isn't)? If such a thing existed, isn't your authority and mastery sufficiently strong enough to withstand that and have you stand up with your own capacities? I would have welcomed it, contrary to what you apparently think. Well, that time is gone, so we'll see what happens henceforth.



This following is my final response to ken before I was removed from PTV...

*“In an open and free-thinking society, it would be reasonable to think that there would be a way to discuss unresolved issues in an open and transparent way and with full accountability, without the threat of*



*being removed as a member. From my perspective it seems that Ken thinks that some men are more equal than others. I also think it's unethical that Ken posts highly deflative emotionally charged verbiage directed at me, and then says I can't comment on it and he won't be able to discuss the contents of that post for 1-2 months, while everyone else can. Perhaps I can use the damsel in distress fast tracking system to expediate the process? Now, in the cabal-controlled world, the control of information may be the status quo, but let's clarify something here from the onset, I will say whatever I want to say, whenever I want to say it, and no one gets to tell me, what I can or cannot do.*

*Another area to consider, is that the person who controls the flow of information, in most cases has a superior advantage. So, imagine if I or anyone else, said something that was 100% true and 100% factually correct, which exposed something, that was for the greater good of all in PTV and in which, all of the members had a right to know? The person in charge of controlling that information could alter its direction, remove it or prevent its delivery, if it was not to their liking.*

*In Ken's verbose letter, it seems that he was more concerned with how he looked to other members viewing this conversation, rather than to actually address the issues raised and from my perspective, he is an absolute master at semantic wizardry and being intelligently evasive. I will admit that his level of tactical skill and sophistication in casuistry are exceptionally well developed and would be virtually invisible to detect for most people. I can see that rather than actually address the certain issues I have specifically raised within a certain context; he skilfully but unethically changed the context in an attempt to shape the readers perception. I can also see at times that he has strategically used ambiguity to his advantage. There has also been certain information that I had requested in my letter to him but that has not been forthcoming and I fully understand why, but there is also absolutely no possibility of a resolution by text, as I would never be allowed to discuss what it is I need to discuss without censorship.*

*Ken is also very fluent in the art of Sophistry whenever it is needed and in such a stealth way, that for most people it will go undetected. I want to clarify the actual reason, as to why I mentioned to Ken about keeping our communication private. This was totally for Ken's benefit not mine. Now that he has said what he has said publicly, his addiction to self-importance will not allow him admit he was wrong in any way, to avoid embarrassment. He has publicly attacked my character and decision-making process and Andrea said that because of what I did, in*

*an attempt to deal with the Sara issue, in the NZ group, they now “were left to clean up this mess.” Now I didn’t really want go there but seeing that you have reminded me of people making errors in judgement, how about this one? Ken’s infatuation with his King David? This was a massive error of judgement on his behalf. This decision cost our society an incredible amount of money, with zero accountability and was a huge waste of time, effort and energy but were not allowed to talk about it?*

*I’m actually surprised that Andrea has been so vocal here with her overtly slanderous and condescending tone, especially with her comprehensive portfolio of dirty little secrets, but let’s be very clear here, her game is absolutely over and I will deal with her once I’m finished with the important issues. It’s going to be a real “get real with Teale” moment, where her room 101, is going to look like a luxury vacation destination in contrast, once everything is exposed.*

*Now, Ken and Andrea also have a choice. They can censor me or they can listen to me, or they can use their tried and well tested programme, by manufacturing a hit on my character using the usual terminology of “he became polarised” “he’s projecting” or “he went into his enemy construct” or “he’s an agent of the matrix” and all of the other regularly used favourites.*

*We are supposed to have the ability to discuss things openly and to have access to some sort of forum that allows a difference of opinion to be discussed, but that’s not allowed. The outcome I would like, is that we do a Zoom call publicly within all of the society, so that we can have full transparency, accountability and disclosure. Just to make it easy for everyone, after that Zoom call, if that option is chosen, I will be leaving PTV and will not be returning unless I’m asked by Ken to do so. Ken knows exactly what he did and that’s a decision he’s going to have to live with, but no doubt he’ll try to soothe himself with his intellect. The universe is watching on many different dimensions and I’m standing here in the absolute purity of my spirit.*

*I want to state that I will act ethically and with honour and integrity, in the best interest of PTV at all times, even though it may not appear like this in the beginning, especially if someone cannot see beyond the spin or commentary being offered in the short term but I guarantee you, it will all make sense in the end. If I am denied a voice, or censored in any way, including my removal from PTV, that is a choice you are very*

*welcome to make but be aware that every action has a consequence and everything will be revealed and nothing can stop what's coming.*

*Some people have been shocked by my behaviour and may think that it's really out of character for me and I can fully appreciate why, but this simply boils down to me having integrity and I can't unsee what I have seen and I'm bringing every fibre of my being and consciousness to this issue. I also think it's highly unlikely that activation will even happen within the next 30 days, as the energetic core of PTV is so fragmented, distorted and out of alignment, which I think is what is holding us back.*

*Another matter to consider is the huge amount of member turnover over the years. We are currently at membership number 2657, yet there are only 326 members in the Notices and Updates group. If you choose to do the maths, that's a lot of people who are no longer members but does anyone ask why?*

*For me, I see 2 strong personality types within Ken, there the Authentic Ken, he's fully grounded, incredibly focused, he has compassion and will give freely with pleasure, to all who need his assistance and is a joy to watch. Then there's the Energetically Castrated Ken, he gets angry, gets triggered and projects his inner conflict onto others and in that energy, you feel your spirit shrinking. Authentic ken would openly debate me and actually enjoy the process. Energetically Castrated Ken would remove me and justify manufacturing a character assassination to deflect from the situation. There are no problems only opportunities, so I wonder at this defining moment in history, which version of Ken is going to show up?*

*I would also suggest that you screenshot this conversation for your records for obvious reasons."*

.....

*Now after I posted my first statement in the ptvcotp.inner\_resolution group Andrea decided to post the following message...*





andrea\_0133 15:31 - Yesterday

Hi Justin, As a side note to all this from me I want you to know that we have spent probably 10 hours dealing with this making sure it was addressed properly in a week that is most important week of the history of humanity of which Ken and I are playing a huge part. You wanted to resolve it yet you haven't even mentioned that you got and received it. On Monday we went to a Korean Spa because we have to relax with such incredible stress that we have to endure and Ken sat in the back of the car writing this to you on the way and on the way back. That was not relaxing for him. He took all your points and addressed them with exact precision of his mastery in doing that. The thing he didn't address is how you also created a problem for all the New Zealand group by having a vote as if we are democracy here and that was divide and conquer where most of the people had no idea what Sara had done because they didn't see all the evidence. That had one woman become very possessed and write an extremely cruel letter to me blaming me for everything that is wrong in her life and put me over the edge for a couple of days. So is this your exact precision, to not even know all the history and study the law to know what was really going on and then creating a mob rule decision.? Then we were left to clean up this mess. Yesterday another NZ member quit who was in this woman's CWS group. So instead of you coming here with guns ablazing and insulting Ken and me in our creation based on 31 years of doing the hard work why not take responsibility for your actions and what you did here? Personally I could not watch much of the Peaches and Jane interview because it was not in the spirit of what I would say to them at all and your needing to talk about how you get away with things by not doing rightful procedure was an insult to what we are doing here. I hope you take that in and stop thinking that you are far superior to us and put yourself in our shoes. You have an ability, that is for sure, but you don't see what we are doing here and think you can override it with your ego.

Now I want to apologise in advance for having to subject you to more of Andrea's never-ending diatribe but I feel it's really important to see all of the evidence in context? What happened in NZ was that a dispute had developed between several members and Jolly asked me to step in and facilitate a resolution if possible. Andrea also mentions that Ken was not able to relax whenever he was spending 10 hours responding to me. Andrea's version of time keeping seems to differ significantly from Ken's version. Am I now somehow being held responsible for Ken's inability to relax? I was deeply relaxed whenever I was writing everything and I actually enjoyed the process. Andrea is also implying that I have created a mess and it's my fault. That is another one of her projections and as you continue to read, you will see that there are many people involved in dealing with the NZ issue involving Sara.

Andrea's text continued...

One thing that Ken and I have is compassion for all beings and their plight in this world and it is very challenging for us to keep doing what we are doing and to be attacked almost every day because people do not get it because of their programming and mind control. As much as you think you know everything I see you are missing really key elements of what we are actually doing and trying to foist your way here without synthesizing with who we are and what our goals and intentions are. Do we make mistakes? Absolutely! But we correct them and apologize when that happens and change the internal patterns to expand and grow to make things work to the best of our ability

EDITED

As far as I know, humanity is not even on the scale it needs to be to do what we came here to do and we need partners and members who will at least be on the same page of the intention and not doing their own thing here that they think works. Can you take all the input and actually make changes to what would be best for all and get past your opinions and judgments of us or the members or are you going to stay fixed in thinking you have dealt with all your unconscious patterns? Because that truly is a load of crap.



**andrea\_0133** 🌟 16:15 - Yesterday

What I see here because I can see things to a depth like you on many levels, is that you are blind to your own patterns and have not dealt with them. Ken never tried to assassinate your character but you went full tilt on him. You have unresolved issues with your father, being the alpha male, competition of thinking you have it all but others don't, being delusional about what you think is going on here when you haven't even followed through on the basic law understandings that are imperative here to know information which is the catalyst for EVERYTHING that we do here.

EDITED

Self-honesty and being aware of that, forgiving yourself and making the changes in your behavior and thought patterns is the only remedy here. We cannot keep talking about this endlessly and bow to what you think is real. We can work together if there is full

Self-honesty and being aware of that, forgiving yourself and making the changes in your behavior and thought patterns is the only remedy here. We cannot keep talking about this endlessly and bow to what you think is real. We can work together if there is full disclosure of what we are dealing with because everyone has their blind spots and unconscious behavior patterns.

EDITED



 **Jollydee\_621** ✨ 16:45 - Yesterday

I would like to make a few comments here, especially because I have been very much involved in all of what has been discussed here. I'm here to take full responsibility for my part in everything that happened.

Regarding the situation with Sara and the New Zealand members, it was my intention from the beginning when I first became aware of the situation where Vicki and Steve had been very much criticized by Sara, to lighten the load from Ken and Andrea so that they did not have to have one more situation to solve! I should have known better regarding agreeing to a vote toward the end of it all. The thought behind it was that the rest of the NZ members would be more inclined to accept the removal of Sara as a member if at least most of them had agreed that she was holding things back on causing distraction and separation within the New Zealand members. I had thought that more of the members were fully aware of what had occurred in all of the accusation she had made and written toward Vicki and Steve. I do not now need to go into this any further, because the rest of it is pretty much known. However, I really do wish to take full responsibility for things that I am responsible for.

It definitely was a great - no, **extreme**, surprise to me Justin, that you took such offense at Ken's notes and suggestions about two recent interviews that you had conducted. The

Although you have previously seen Andrea try to blame me for what unfolded in NZ, you can now see that was just another unfounded emotional outburst without her accepting any self-responsibility. She also accuses me of having issues with my father, which is another one of her projections. I actually had an amazing relationship with my father until he was murdered by the National Health service in December 2021. As you can see Andrea likes to make a lot of accusations but that's all they are, but they are very clear indicator, of who Andrea really is, at the deepest core of her being.



Text continued...

It definitely was a great - no, **extreme**, surprise to me Justin, that you took such offense at Ken's notes and suggestions about two recent interviews that you had conducted. The things that he wrote about were pertinent and to the point. I could see nothing in there that was remarking upon your character or that you had done anything particularly wrong, insofar as your needing to repeatedly plead that you were not responsible

There was nothing that required that response from you. I wrote to you and told you that if anyone should have communicated further to you, that would have been me, and not Ken and therefore your continuously stating that he had been "grossly irresponsible and unprofessional" was and is totally unfounded. To me, you blew what he wrote in that letter completely out of all proportion, and I would totally ask you what has caused you to react in such an extreme manner.

As Ken indicated in his response here to you, we have all had nothing but respect for you since we first met you in November 2019. As you know, I was the one who reached out to you to ask you for assistance and that was because I have always seen you as somebody who is very good at reading people, and is good at mediating and hence the request for you to be part of the conversation between Vicki and Sara at the outset of all of this. Until your charged response to Ken's original notes, I had seen you as somebody who is not reactive, but more response-able. I also was very surprised that you had thought that it was strange that Ken posted this in this small group, and not directly to you. I had thought that you are always for transparency, so where is the issue with bringing this up in this small group?

I truly hope that you are taking the time to read everything that Ken wrote to cover all of the points that you made. He is a master in being able to see things in the big picture and from an uncharged position. I see all of this as huge learning curves for me, and already I have grown and learned from every part of this.

EDITED



marie\_1097 17:33 - Yesterday

I also want to take responsibility for my part as observer in the Sara saga. I realized something today that had never occurred to me; that voting was just another program where I give my consent to the predictive programming we are constantly exposed to. It is so easy to fool ourselves into NOT taking responsibility for our thoughts and actions. Without any second thoughts I had agreed to the voting issue thinking that it was the fairest way to deal with the situation. This brings me to asking myself how best would this issue have been handled where equity is being served for the highest good of all? That is something I will ponder on for quite a while.

On another note, when I read Ken's first communication on the innerview process, I felt absolutely no implied blame but just constructive criticism and saw the value in each comment that would improve the innerview process. Justin, your reply really surprised me. I look forward to having all of us in tune again really soon.



andrea\_0133 18:15 - Yesterday

If you asked anyone who votes and they were totally self honest they would probably tell you they don't know what the candidate really stands for and why they would want that being to represent them . What you would find is they feel dismayed with the whole system and don't really believe the person but vote because it is the right thing to do and their parents voted for that party or some other preprogrammed thing in their mind to get a dopamine rush of feeling good and they don't participate in making the system work at all by getting involved but expect this person to do what they like not what is good for the whole society.

If Sara had been let to stay here would everyone have checked up on her to make sure she understood that her whole platform had nothing to do with what Ken was requesting and she had her own agenda to feel righteous just like the people who voted for her? It

If Sara had been let to stay here would everyone have checked up on her to make sure she understood that her whole platform had nothing to do with what Ken was requesting and she had her own agenda to feel righteous just like the people who voted for her? It would have caused a lot more division like Ken said and whatever runs her is about causing that division because that is what she feels comfortable with as an addiction to conflict.

In my opinion there are still people out there that voted yes that haven't come clean about it. The ones that have the integrity wrote to Ken or me and admitted they hadn't really looked into it or they were trying to do the nice thing and be a nice person without all the facts. They are the ones who have really grown from this including us because now we see where we were lacking and we can tighten things up more.



kenneth\_0132 🌟 18:31 - Yesterday

The primary promoted maxim in the "democratic" system of voting, that many repeat like a mantra, is "I am voting for the lesser of two evils" or variations thereof. I addressed this in the DOPASI, and said, paraphrasing: "A choice that leads to taking the lesser of two evils is still choosing evil." That's how the population is trained in a rigged democratic voting system to accept the choice of evil.



Now as you can see highlighted above in blue, anyone who in good faith voted for Sara to remain in PTV, in Andrea's opinion now needs to come forth and are expected to "*come clean about it.*" Why does Andrea need these people to do this? Is this to shame them? Is it for control? Is this because someone dared to think for themselves? The bizarre thing is that Andrea already has access to that information and I have the screenshots of it also, but for ethical reasons I'm not going to post it here because certain members were afraid of the potential repercussions from Sara. Are the following members who got involved in the voting scenario now going to have to come forward and ask for forgiveness because Ken has made his position very clear on voting. Would any dictator or cult leader ever allow voting?





**marie\_1097** 20:31 - 23 Apr

those involved should vote of course...over 50% is a fair take...I was an observer so not really a target of hers but have kept up with all the chats, so if it comes down to getting the MST to vote, then I will participate..



**jollydee\_621** 20:45 - 23 Apr

You may not have been a target of Sara's Marie, but your time was consumed by the situation - you, me, Nida and Justin have spent a fair amount of time discussing this situation, and writing and editing letters to Sara etc. etc. .... This is consumption of our time and energy.



**marie\_1097** 20:56 - 23 Apr

true!



**nidagrace\_38** 04:48 - 24 Apr

I agree with the over 50% yay - stay or nay - suspend/terminate, whichever one is voted. Those impacted and involved have a vote.



**jollydee\_621** 20:12 - 23 Apr

Hey Marie and Nida - Justin and I have been discussing how to run the vote with the NZ members tonight.



Here is an idea of the options for the vote for them:

.....

Please vote with one of these options....

1. Keep Sara as a member at this time
2. Suspend Sara's membership
3. Terminate Sara's membership - I have had issues with her and I do not see them being resolved.

.....

**justin**

We just need to decide the ratio criteria on votes.

**jolly**

Good point.....

**justin**

I think the majority should decide. If she gets more than 50% she stays. If it's to remove or terminate, then the majority on that issue decides?

Also does anyone on the MST get to vote or not?

Do I get to vote?

**jolly**

I think that's fair - over 50% and she gets to stay.

I would also like to explain that I have worked with Sara, for over 10 hours, to help her work through some personal challenges and although she did make some very meaningful shifts in consciousness, she still had some things, that she needed to work through. I also have Ken's suspension letter to Sara but I didn't want to include it here, because it would just take up so much space but after reading it, I do believe he was unnecessarily harsh with her but I do think he gets off on that.

It's also strange that Andrea attacks me so aggressively, yet as you can see, in the following screenshots, that until I questioned the wisdom of Ken's decision-making motives, my skill set was very much appreciated and even praised? In the first screen shot you'll see that I have underlined Jolly's Freudian slip but let's continue?



**Jolly** @  
✓ Verified



[Read More](#) **Sat 4 Mar** 21:02

I've just finished reading everything you've sent me and I'm going to email you a short summary now.

21:04



**Sent** 21:06

OK thanks. Will check it out.

21:07

You are GOOD!! That is a very accurate summary, from what I know of her and these circumsatances.

21:12





14:54



**Jolly** @  
✓ Verified



**Mon 6 Mar**

Hey justin, I just finished watching the call with Sarah and vicky, and thank you so very much for agreeing to be part of this.

Your skill and experience showed itself very well, you are a master!

16:52

14:58



**Jolly** @  
✓ Verified



the idea of talking with  
steve, w Wed 8 Mar re.  
That is another piece  
of progress! She had  
previously said that  
she did not think that  
she would want to do  
that to come out as  
she thought that it  
would not gain  
anything for her.

Anyway, all of us here  
at PTV are very  
grateful to you for  
stepping in. Nida  
specifically asked me  
to thank you for doing  
what you did.

I also filled kenin with



14:58



**Jolly** @  
✓ Verified



... are very

grateful **Wed 8 Mar**  
stepping in. Nida  
specifically asked me  
to thank you for doing  
what you did.

I also filled kenin with  
a summary of what  
had occurred, and he  
said to appoint you as  
PTV chief council!

He was very happy  
that you had assisted  
us with this, and that  
we had not had to  
involve him.

17:23





### **Summary:**

This following is my opinion on Ken and Andrea based on me knowing them since 2019 and meeting them in California, for a weekend seminar and then spending a week with them the following month but it also includes my interactions with them to date. I truly think Ken is an energetically castrated man, who once had a powerful vision to do the right things for the right reasons but he somehow lost his potency along the way. It seems that Ken has some deep energetic entanglement with Andrea and he may have some sort of toxic self-destruction contract with her, that he may or may not be conscious of. Andrea has inserted herself so deeply within Ken's psyche and I think he's consciously unaware of it. Whenever I take a really deep forensic look at Andrea, I see a person who's highly manipulative, she's incredibly devious and her addiction to being right is extreme. She is corrupted, by whatever delusion of power she thinks she has and she doesn't care about what she has to do to remain in control. At a very deep level Ken knows he should have walked away from this woman decades ago but any man who enables a woman in the process of emasculating him, is most definitely energetically castrated.


I would also like to mention that I has a psychic attack from Andrea on the evening of Friday the 5<sup>th</sup> of May. This was a most unusual experience for me, as I have never had one before. This is where she really tried to pierce my consciousness, with the intention of harming me, attempting to gather information in secret and an attempt to change how certain events were going to unfold. This failed attempt actually confirmed I was on the right track and it gave me a great inspiration to focus with on what I was revealing with renewed intensity. The following day on Saturday the 6<sup>th</sup> of May, a friend who was staying with me was psychically attacked also. They were asleep in bed and Andrea had sent 2 malicious dark entities to psychically attack them. Now they did not succeed and my friend had to awaken out of their sleep to break the pattern and I was informed about what had happened the next morning.

I was also attacked psychically again in the early hours of Saturday the 13<sup>th</sup> of May, as I was sleeping. I had never experienced anything like this before, so I had to check with my 84-year-old friend Shelia who has an amazing ability to track and monitor these types of events and she confirmed that it was some sort of lizard that worked with Andrea. Now I wasn't affected by Andrea's behaviour but I could clearly see that my psyche was being attacked with the intention to harm me, to change the course of events and to gather information. Ultimately, it made me more aware, more vigilant and it strengthened my determination to expose the dark and sinister side of Andrea, that she so desperately tries to keep hidden. Andrea, you have been exposed and your alliance with these reptilian entities are now public knowledge. You will be held accountable for your actions and I have called the intergalactic court to be present, whenever you are going to be dealt with. The exact same court you confirmed existed, whenever I worked with another member to remove his attachments with the reptilian entities in California in 2019.

I truly believe that PTV cannot and will not succeed while Andrea is around. Other than her favourite hand-picked selection of carefully selected arse lickers, not many people like her and if PTV was a democracy, she would have been voted out decades ago, which is why she is probably so triggered by the thought of it. Will Energetically Castrated Ken ever get his balls back? Well, from my understanding the only way he can, is to remove himself from Andrea's energy and distance himself from her extreme energy vampire addiction to her Ken. For now, we'll just have to watch this space and see what happens, as we all continue to walk the magical path of life.

Nothing ever goes  
away until it teaches us  
what we need to know.

~Pema Chodron



I thought that the following questions would be useful for some members to have answers to?

1. If a member had an issue or difference of opinion with the Scribe or Overseer of PTV, can that member discuss that issue publicly if desired, or can that person be immediately removed from PTV without any form of transparency or accountability to the other members of the society?
2. If in the present or future, the Scribe or Overseer of PTV became highly unethical or corrupted, what safeguards or procedures are in place to protect the members from any potential abuses of power?



3. Ken states that PTV is non-hierarchical but yet it functions as a complete hierarchy. You can see Ken is at the top, then Andrea, then Jolly and then it filters down through the ranks. If it's not hierarchical, then how specifically does the internal structure and mechanisms of PTV operate?
4. Are the Overseer or Scribe accountable to the members in any way? If so, how specifically and where can a member find this information? If not why not?
5. If a member wanted to create their own society, it appears that it would be under the umbrella of PTV? Is it possible for a member to create their own society, which is completely independent of PTV and if so does PTV provide that option and support to the members? If not why not?
6. It states that PTV is a "*House of Peace*" yet it appears that Ken and Andrea behave in a war like manner, at times towards members that they have disagreements with. Why is that and why does this happen so often? Is this because so many people have gotten it wrong, or do we need to take a deeper look at the people running it?
7. It states that PTV is a "*Mutual Benefit Society*" yet those benefits can be severely restricted or removed if someone doesn't conform to Ken or Andrea's version of reality. Is this truly a mutual benefit society, or is that just in name only?
8. Is there somewhere where a member can go to see who was removed and why they were removed? Is the member who was removed allowed to have their statement put on record so that they can have their comments noted? If not why not?
9. It appears that PTV also operates as a dictatorship or as a cult, with Ken having unquestionable and unaccountable authority over all members at all times? If this is not true, please explain the specific mechanisms used to operate the society, without using elaborate names to deflect from the question. How do the key components specifically operate within the society especially with issues relating to disagreement or conflict?

10. Is Ken the ultimate con man? Does MM really exist? If MM really exists, is he the one playing Ken? Given that the Deep State is run by ruthless psychopathic criminals, who will do anything to retain control, why would they allow someone like Ken to exist or even help? If Ken was really as important, as he tries to make out he is, then why has Ken not been assassinated or at least threatened? Why does Ken not have an extremely high level of security? This just does not add up? A homeless person wearing a Rolex watch would look less out of place than this does?

I hope you all had an enjoyable time reading this content, no matter what your perspective is. Whether you're in agreement with me or not, is irrelevant. My intention was to ask questions and look at some of the not so nice things within PTV that are not normally allowed to be discussed or debated. I thoroughly enjoyed writing it and found it deeply relaxing and entertaining and I sincerely wish you a truly magnificent onward journey wherever that might take you. I also want to thank those members who helped make this communication possible, especially with those members exposing how Ken was trying to spin this event within PTV.

I did post my final message on the morning of Friday the 5<sup>th</sup> of May and then drove for a few hours and then checked in again, just after midday. I did make one response to someone who commented, and then I didn't check in again until the evening of Sunday the 7<sup>th</sup> of May. It was only then that I realised that Energetically Castrated Ken had shown up and removed me, which was what I had expected to happen.

For me intention always matters and my question is, what was Ken's true intention for removing me from PTV? What did he gain from my removal? Why was it done so secretly? What truly triggered him? Do you believe he was being authentic at all times during this discussion? Were there other sources of input data that were used to make the decision to remove me? If so, would Ken then answer and declare those other sources, so that we can see the chain of information that may or may not have changed the sequence of events that unfolded? The only piece of information I want, is what motivated Ken to do what you did? Will he actually answer the question, or do another 18-page rant, rather than answer the question? Why is he so unwilling to answer this question? The reason is most likely because it would expose something deeper within PTV, that if the members saw, they make not like what they had seen?

If I really wanted to harm Ken, I would have left PTV silently and not shared what I had seen. I couldn't think of a more obscene and wretched punishment for him, that for him having to suffer for rest of his life smouldering in Andrea's energy. I saw what he did and he framed me for something I didn't do and because I wouldn't roll over, I was removed and I'm happy with that. I really think if he doesn't get away from Andrea soon, she's going down and she's going to bring Ken down with her.

Another issue that I thought was an abuse of Ken's power was whenever some of the members previously had an issue with the FPM contracts. These contracts were badly written and related to post activation and needed to be amended. When members questioned this contract, they were suspended for not signing a faulty contract, that Ken had incorrectly written. Is this how you'd expect someone to lead by example, and was suspending members the appropriate, or only action to take?

I believe I have been fully authentic; I have acted with integrity and I've given my truthful expertise and opinion. It may not be liked by some and I may have even made some mistakes, but I did this from a place of absolute clarity. I was also fully grounded at the time of writing this. One thing that you might not know about me is that I analyse a very large spectrum of data in the world and I can see stuff that most people don't years see before they see it. Only after the coronavirus scam and millions of people worldwide have been murdered by the Medical Religion, they realised that the CDC, the NIH, the FDA, the WHO, the EHRA in Europe and many others were all corrupt. I also exposed the criminal pharmaceutical companies and their completely rigged studies and their bought and paid for doctors. I was calling it with such precision and accuracy in 2010 and people are only seeing it now. I also think this is a precise and accurate overview of what I saw within PTV. It's also interesting that for PTV being a peaceful society, Ken acts like a warmonger whenever he's writing letters to the members he's removed.

### **To Ken...**

I really think at times, you can be a great person but you're with a woman you don't love and a woman who has a really dark and toxic energy. I really don't know what contract you have with Andrea and why you feel the need to stay with her? We all have our own free will to do as we please in this universe. I sincerely wish for your onward evolution and that you take a really deep look at what I have said? You need to find



a woman that nourishes the very depths of your soul, if that is your desire, but you have allowed yourself, to become so energetically entangled with a very sinister and stealth energy vampire and I think it would be advised, to at least consider what I'm saying, before you dismiss it? Andrea feeds of your energy in such an addictive frenzy and you either can't see it, or you refuse to see it. Even if you don't agree with anything whatsoever in this entire document, please consider what I have said about Andrea. If you chose to leave her, would she accept that? If you did leave her, what reaction do you think she would have? If you feel she is absolutely entitled to half of whatever PTV means to her, why not gift it all to her and start a new society and see how many follow you and how many stay with Andrea? I really think PTV has a huge potential to make such a meaningful shift in the world but I believe it will only ever meet, a minute amount of its potential, while you allow the energy of Andrea to distort and twist your perception. This is the final piece of the puzzle you've been looking for but for some reason you can't see it. When you leave this dimension and go on your next journey and you look back at this will you be at peace with all that you did and will you have achieved, what you came here to do? I'm communicating to you from a place of absolute sincerity. I'm telling you that you need to get away from Andrea before she extracts the very last drop of your life force and awareness. I also think you should give Eddie a copy of this?

On a final note, is it not interesting that I was removed from PTV, yet I was never told I was going to be removed? I was just removed from all of the groups on Keybase and had my access to Gemstone University removed. To date, I haven't received any official correspondence of any kind explaining any aspect of what has happened. What I did experience was a total lockdown within all areas of PTV and denied any opportunity to discuss any issues I had raised. Are these not the behaviours of a dictator or cult leader? Where is the Tao of Leadership now? Where is the application of the chaordic principles? Where is the transparency? Where is the accountability? Why is Ken with all of his knowledge and skillset so afraid to face me?

By the way just as a word of warning in case you decide to post any more notices or make any videos about me. If you say anything about me, which I deem disrespectful, condescending, derogatory or untruthful in any way, I will come for you seeking resolution and you know I'll be watching. I hope you enjoyed the intellectual foreplay but if you misbehave in any way, there will be consequences and being resourceful is my greatest resource. Thank you all for reading along, your observation was most appreciated.

If any person reading this would like to give me feedback on what I've posted, please feel free to contact me on Keybase at [justin\\_1470](#). All feedback is welcome, regardless of how you interpreted the information, or how it impacted you.

**The best is yet to come**